APPENDIX 3: Effects ranking and descriptor

Category High - Exceptional	Criteria Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns, balanced combination of landform and land cover Appropriate management for land use and land cover Distinct features worthy of conservation Sense of place No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns, balanced combination of landform and land cover	Typical Example International or nationally recognised site – national parl Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
	balanced combination of landform and land cover Appropriate management for land use and land cover Distinct features worthy of conservation Sense of place No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	
High	Appropriate management for land use and land cover Distinct features worthy of conservation Sense of place No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
High	Distinct features worthy of conservation Sense of place No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
High	Sense of place No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
High	No detracting features Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
High	Strong landscape structure, characteristics, patterns,	Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
High		Nationally or regionally recognised site – national park
	Appropriate management for land use and land cover but	
	potential scope for improvement.	
	Distinct features worthy of conservation	
	Sense of place	
	Occasional detracting features	
Good	Recognisable landscape structure, characteristics, patterns, balanced	Nationally, regionally recognised site all or great majorit of area of local landscape importance
	combination of landform and land cover still evident	
	Scope to improve management for land use and land cover	
	Some features worthy of conservation	
	Sense of place	
	Some detracting features	
Ordinary	Distinguishable landscape structure, characteristic patterns of landform and land cover often masked by landuse	
	Some features worthy of conservation	
	Some detracting features	
Poor	Weak landscape structure, characteristic patterns of	
	landform and land cover often masked by landuse	
	Mixed land use evident	
	Lack of management and intervention has resulted in	
	degradation	
	Frequent detracting features	
Very poor	Degraded landscape structure, characteristic patterns of	
	landform and land cover are masked by landuse	
	Mixed land use dominates	
	Lack of management and intervention has resulted in	
	degradation	
	Extensive detracting features	
Damaged landscape	Damaged landscape structure	
	Single land use	
	Disturbed or derelict land requires treatment	

Table 1 has been adapted for NZ conditions from an example of threshold criteria used by practitioners in the United Kingdom. The original document was prepared by Jeff Stevenson Associates and published in Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Assessment ("GLVIA") 3rd Edition. Landscape Institute (UK) and IEMA 2013.