

SOUTH WAIRARAPA DISTRICT COUNCIL

14-16 MAY 2018

AGENDA ITEM 5

SUBMISSIONS ON THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT LONG TERM PLAN 2018/28 PROPOSALS REPORT

Purpose of Report

To present the 2018/28 Long Term Plan Submissions and summary of submissions for consideration.

Recommendations

Officers recommend that the Council:

1. *Receive the Submissions on the Consultation Document Long Term Plan 2018/28 Proposals Report.*
2. *Consider the submissions and make resolutions and changes to the 2018/28 Long Term Plan as necessary.*

1. Executive Summary

The proposed Long Term Plan for the 2018/28 financial years was released for public consultation on 22 March 2018. A total of 181 submissions have been received (see previously circulated booklet and detail on Stellar), resulting in approximately 760 individual requests for comments.

The submissions have been summarised and are presented as Appendix 2. Officers have reviewed the submissions and made comments against individual requests.

Council must now hear members of the public who wish to present their submission in person and then decide whether any changes will be made to the Long Term Plan before it is adopted on 27 June 2018.

A spreadsheet outlining grant applications for 2018/19 and 2017/18 and prior grants and is attached as Appendix 3.

Appendix 4 contains the responses to the specific questions asked in the Consultation Document.

2. Consultation Document Proposal Analysis

Council consulted the community on the following proposals:

	Councils Preferred Option	Incorporated into Rates
Future Growth and Development (preparation of spatial plan)	Option 1	Yes
Youth Training and Development	Option 1	Yes
Promoting and Enhancing our District	Option 1	Yes
Infrastructure for Visitors	Option 1	Yes
Sports Coordination	Option 2	No
Reducing Waste going to Landfills	NA	No
Water Conservation	Option 2	No

Submitters responded to the above proposals, but also provided feedback on other items not consulted on.

Submissions have been split into items **consulted on** and items **not consulted on**. Items in the 'not consulted on spreadsheet' fall into two categories: those seeking funding under the EC&C budget, with the consulted on budget set at \$157,380 and those suggesting changes to Council's programme of work that we were not seeking feedback on.

Council previously agreed to set aside some unallocated grant budget to cover any mid-year requests for grants. Council need to decide how much this 'buffer' for future grant applications should be.

There is also a proposal to put in place Youth grants as part of this LTP. The total proposed for Youth related grants is \$75,000.

Councillors need to be mindful that feedback provided by submitters may include suggestions that Council has not consulted all ratepayers on. In some cases, particularly where budgetary changes are required, the only action that can be taken by Council is to refer the suggestion to officers for investigation (financial impact and consultation requirements). Councillors may direct officers to action requests where there is clearly no financial impact, need for consultation or further analysis.

2.1 General comments:

- 29 submissions were received from the commercial/non-ratepayer groups and include submissions from industry and special interest organisations. These submissions have lifted the overall outcome for all proposals consulted on.
- Submissions with properties in two rating zones capture votes for both rating zones (29, 35, 169). One submission was not entered as it was submitted with no name or contact details, and could have been a duplicate submission.
- The waste reduction totals were calculated by taking all those who had responded 'yes' to an option divided by only those submissions

who had ticked at least one 'yes' or 'no' box in this section. Submitters who skipped this question were not included in the final calculation.

The following tables provide some basic analysis of the responses received.

2.2 Rates Affordability

Rates Affordability			
Category	Agrees with level of rates Increase	Disagrees with level of Rates Increase	Percent Agree with % increase
Urban	22	22	50%
Rural	21	22	49%
Commercial/Non-ratepayer	4	3	57%
All submitters	46	46	50%

*Note: The 'All submitters' total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category (e.g. urban and commercial), so their votes were counted for each category.

The district is split on whether the rates increases are acceptable or too high. Suggestions for alternative rises ranged from 0%-5%.

2.3 Future Growth and Development

Category	Option One: Agrees with the proposal to prepare a Spatial Plan	Option Two: Status quo	Percent in support of Option One
Urban	34	10	77%
Rural	38	7	84%
Commercial/Non-ratepayer	18	1	95%
All submitters	88	17	84%

*Note: The 'All submitters' total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Council's proposal to prepare a spatial plan for the South Wairarapa was strongly supported by all ratepayer groups, however the commercial/non-ratepayer groups with social, sporting, community and other specific interests had the effect of lifting the overall support. Many submitters expressed a desire to be consulted and be involved in the spatial planning exercise.

2.4 Youth Training and Development

Youth Training and Development			
Category	Option One: Agrees with the proposal to set aside \$75k for grants	Option Two: Status quo	Percent in support of Option One
Urban	25	20	56%
Rural	27	20	57%
Commercial/Non- ratepayer	16	3	84%
All submitters	67	41	62%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Council's proposal to set aside \$75,000 per annum for grants to support youth training, development and recreational activities was supported by all sector groups, however commercial/non-ratepayer groups with social, sporting, community and other specific interests had the effect of lifting the overall support up reasonably significantly. Those not in favour of supporting this proposal generally felt it was not Council's role to do so.

2.5 Promoting and Enhancing our District

Promoting and Enhancing our District				
Category	Option One: Agrees with proposal to spend \$300k	Option Two: Status quo	Option Three: Proceed with spend at different level	Percent in support of Option One
Urban	20	15	9	45%
Rural	21	22	4	45%
Commercial/Non- ratepayer	11	4	3	61%
All submitters	51	40	15	48%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Council's proposal to spend \$300,000 over the next 10 years to promote and enhance the district has failed to get support from urban and rural ratepayers. Reasons why this was not supported varied however in general terms it was felt that it was well promoted already, growth was not always positive and there was concern that infrastructure was not adequate to cope with resulting visitor growth. Some submitters proposed a lesser amount be spent; range \$10,000 - \$250,000. There was some support for Council's website to be updated.

Commercial/non-ratepayer groups with social, sporting, community and other specific interests had the effect of lifting the overall support up for this proposal.

2.6 Infrastructure for Visitors

Infrastructure for Visitors			
Category	Option One: Agrees with the proposal to set aside \$75k for grants	Option Two: Status quo	Percent in support of Option One
Urban	35	10	78%
Rural	37	9	80%
Commercial/Non- ratepayer	17	3	85%
All submitters	86	22	80%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Council's proposal to invest \$50,000 per annum over the next 5 years was strongly supported by all ratepayer groups.

2.7 Sports Coordination

Sports Coordination			
Category	Option One: Support cost to fund a coordinator	Option Two: Status quo (preferred option)	Percent in support of Option Two (preferred option)
Urban	7	36	84%
Rural	13	34	72%
Commercial/Non- ratepayer	6	7	54%
All submitters	26	74	74%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Council's proposal not to fund a sports coordinator was the preferred option across all sectors. Submitters did not see this initiative as Council's role.

2.8 Water Conservation

Water Conservation					
Category	Option One: Support cost to undertake study	Option Two: Status quo (preferred option)	Option Three: Consider other options	Percent in support of Option One	Percent in support of either Option One or Option Three
Urban	12	28	6	26%	39%
Rural	21	18	9	44%	63%
Commercial/Non- ratepayer	6	5	6	35%	71%
All submitters	38	50	20	35%	54%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

Although a feasibility study to investigate ways in which we can reduce water usage has failed to get support from any sector, there was rural and non-ratepayer support for Council to initiate further water conservation (options 1 and options 3) methods. Many supporters noted that Council was already ahead of other councils in this area as South Wairarapa already has water meters, and many others suggested personal capture or re-use options as the best way to move forward.

2.9 Reducing Waste Going to Landfill

Reducing Waste Going to Landfill Percent in Support				
Category	Food Collection	240L bins	e-waste	Recycling Centre
Urban	28%	43%	80%	76%
Rural	28%	52%	85%	80%
Commercial/Non-ratepayer	56%	72%	67%	72%
All submitters	34%	50%	79%	77%

*Note: The "All submitters" total may not equal the sum of responses as submitters 29, 35 and 169 had their choices recorded once in the all submitters results, however the submission indicates they own property in more than one category, so their votes were counted for each category.

The waste reduction percent in favour totals were calculated by taking all those who had responded 'yes' to an option divided by only those submissions who had ticked at least one 'yes' or 'no' box in this section. Submitters who skipped this question were not included in the final calculation.

The two proposals with the most support was the e-waste proposal followed by the recycling centre. The introduction of 240l bins received mixed feedback and was only highly supported by the commercial/non-ratepayer group. Many submitters suggested food composting at home was preferable to an organised collection.

3. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Submissions to Consultation Documentation for 2018/28 Long Term Plan (attached separately)

Appendix 2 – Submissions Summaries (attached separately)

Appendix 3 - Grants Summary

Appendix 4 – Consultation Question Summary

Contact Officer: Suzanne Clark/Jennie Mitchell

Reviewed by: Paul Crimp, CEO

Appendix 3 - Grants Summary

**South Wairarapa District Council
Grant Applications
2018/19 Financial Year**

Submn Number	Grants	2018/19 Requested	2017/18 Approved	Request	2016/17 Approved	2015/16 Actual	2014/15 Actual	Comments	Youth Grant?
180	Aratoi	45,000	25,000	35,000	25,000	25,000	25,000		
79	Cobblestones	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	20,000	Requested 3 years at \$15K	
115	Community Networks Wairarapa Inc	1,500	-	-	-	-	-		
117	Connecting Communities - Neighbourhood support	37,375	14,000	28,500	14,000	14,000	-		
117	Connecting Communities - Social services Coordinator 0.4 FTE min	16,810	-	3,500	1,000	4,500	-	Rent office at FTN Community Centre for SS coordinator dedicated to SW residents.	
117	Connecting Comm's - Wai Youth Council	1,500	-	1,000	-	-	-	Use Youth grant funding?	1,500
161	Enviroschools/Toimata	11,200	11,200	11,187	11,000	10,000	9,000	Use Youth grant funding?	11,200
174	Featherston Booktown	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	For presenters	
116	Featherston Community Centre	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	Rates, building & ground maintenance	
8	Featherston Heritage Complex Society	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	Power & other general expenses	
42	Featherston Information Centre	635	-	-	-	-	-	Telephone previously paid by FCB	
148	Featherston Fell Museum	4,000	2,000	-	10,000	2,000	2,000	Upgrade toilets in Museum + normal \$2K grant	
N/A	Gold Awards	1,665	1,665	-	1,665	1,665	1,665	No submission required	
102	Greytown Cricket Club	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	Renovate cricket block Soldiers Memorial park	
167	Hau Ariki Marae	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	pa request - for 3 years	
167	Hau Ariki Marae	12,000	-	-	5000	-	-	Erection of the Waharoa (Marae Entrance).	
86 ??	Kuranui College	35,000	-	-	-	-	-	Youth workers	35,000
N/A	Kuranui College Prizegiving	350	350	-	350	350	200	No submission required - use youth grant?	350
N/A	Maori Sports Awards	100	100	-	100	100	100	No submission required	
12	Martinborough Colonial Museum	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	2,450		
28	Martinborough Dark Sky Society	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	So progress can continue	
28	Martinborough Dark Sky Society	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	Operating expenses	
165	MSC/Marae based education programmes	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	pa request	
165	MSC/Marae Maintenance	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	pa request	
3	NZ Memorial Museum, LeQuesnoy, France	500	-	-	-	-	-	Wanting \$30K total from LA's	
120	Para Kore Marae Incorporated	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	Request 3 years funding	
109	Pukaha to Palliser	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$25,000 pa for 3 yrs.Fund Project Coordinator + Coordination & Facilitation Manager + opex.	
160	Sport Wellington - Sports awards	500	500	500	700	700	-	Have requested grant application	
160	Sport Wellington	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	Have requested grant application	
96	The Wairarapa Whanau Trust (Alan Maxwell)	23,380	23,380	23,380	-	-	-	Requested \$23K pa for 3 years. Approved for one year in 2017/18	23,380
N/A	UCOL - Scholarships	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	No submission required - use youth grant?	1,000
31	Wairarapa Arts Festival (Kokomai)	10,000	10,000	15,000	5,000	10,000	5,000		
1	Wairarapa Racing Club	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$5,000 p.a. for 3 yrs. Enable further devt of Country Music Festival	
95	Wairarapa Road Safety Council Wairarapa community Driver Mentor Programme.	5,000	5,000	5,000	-	-	-		5,000
181	Wairarapa Safer Community Trust	10,500	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	4,870		
125	Wairarapa Trails Advisory Group	11,368	-	-	-	-	-		
76	Wairarapa Youth Education Training and Employment Partnership (YETE)	10,000	5,000	15,000	-	-	-		10,000
66	Wellington Free Ambulance	9,525	9,525	9,525	9,525	4,763	4,763		
1247	Wings over Wairarapa	10,000	-	-	5,000	-	5,000	20th Celebration Air Show February 2019	
	Budget required 2018/19 FY:	451,308	151,120	213,092	114,340	124,078	93,048		87,430
	Not requested this year:								
-	Aratoi exhibition	-	-	-	-	10,000	-		
-	Arts & Culture Trust	-	-	-	-	5,000	9,528		
-	Bring it to Colombo	-	-	-	20,000	-	-		
104	Fab Feathy	-	-	20,000	-	-	-	Encourage to work through FCB	
-	Featherston Community Kitties	-	-	-	1,000	-	-		
119	Greytown Sports Facilities Working Group	-	5,000	5,000	-	-	-	GTN Sports & Leisure	
-	GTN sports & Leisure	-	-	-	20,000	20,000	-		
-	Huri Huri: Wairarapa Bike Festival Charitable Trust	-	5,000	5,000	12,000	-	3,000		
-	Life to the Max	-	-	-	-	-	15,000		
165	Maori Standing Committee - High profile youth event	-	1,500	1,500	-	-	-		
165	Maori Standing Committee - promote Maori language & Culture	-	900	1,000	-	-	-	\$300 per town	
-	Mayor taskforce	-	-	-	-	-	712		
-	Mba Golf Course	-	-	4,500	-	-	-		
-	Onoke Riparian	-	-	-	-	-	2,000		
-	Redressing our History Exhibition (Ngati Kahungunu)	-	-	10,000	-	-	-		
-	Sport Wellington	-	-	1,000	-	-	-		
-	Sport Wellington	-	-	750	750	-	-	Regional facilities plan	
-	Sustainable Farming Fund	-	1,260	1,260	-	-	-		
-	Wairarapa Balloon Festival	-	5,000	5,000	-	3,000	-		
-	Wairarapa Chamber of Commerce	-	-	7,000	-	-	-	Support short-term operational costs	
-	Wairarapa Healthy Homes	-	-	10,000	-	12,000	12,000		
-	Wairarapa Hockey	-	-	-	2,000	-	2,000		
-	Wairarapa Sports Artificial Turf	-	-	-	-	-	30,000		
81	Wairarapa Volunteer Centre	-	-	5,000	-	-	-		
-	Workforce Trust	-	-	-	-	1,000	-		
	Less funded from other sources								
	Greytown Sports Facilities Working Group*	-	(5,000)	-	-	-	-	Use Skate park funding to cover this 2017/18	
		451,308	157,380	213,092	157,340	160,078	152,288		

Appendix 4 – Consultation Question Summary

Sub No	Ratepayer				Rates Affordability		Future Growth and Development		Youth Training and Development		Promoting and enhancing our district			Infrastructure for visitors		Sports coordination		Reducing waste going to landfills				Water conservation			Fees and Charges							
	U	R	C	Non	Agree	Disagree	Rate level supported	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Amount	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Food waste	Wheeler bin	E-waste	Recycling centre	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Comment			
9	1				1			1		1					1																	
10		1						1													1	1	1	1	Requests household comms on how to recycle successfully	1						
11		1						1		1																						
13								1													1	1	1									
14		1					Rates increase depends on best use of increase	1		1															kerbside waste collection should be minimised, food waste should be composted at home or collected for piggeries/chickens. Good idea to provide enclosed recycling bins but consider smaller bins to encourage consumers to think about their recycling.	1				What is the effect on the water cycle if we construct underground tanks and reduce evaporation? How do we efficiently use water is the key		
15								1													1	1	1	1	Strongly support initiatives to reduce waste sent to landfill.					water meters, a requirement for new houses to have rain-fed water storage tanks, subsidies or loans from Council to install water storage or greywater systems, fix leaking water infrastructure, promotion of greywater systems, extend education program and employ sustainable building advisor like Hamilton city Council. Strongly oppose the use of SWDC funds to further Water Wairarapa scheme as an option for municipal water supply, money better spent investigating or implementing options.		
16		1				3%	Disagree with rates expenditure wording Spend ratepayers money prudently, do core works well and oversee work, there will be money for all	1							Leave it to private enterprise	1															Pensioner housing increase of \$10 per week is too high	
17		1				5%		1							do it	1															Leave pensioner rents alone, find funding from some other source	
18		1						1							Can't enhance the district as a place to live if facilities aren't up to scratch	1															Appropriate increases so long as service is provided in a timely manner	
19		1				3%		1																							Rain water storage needs to be encouraged or subsidised	
20		1				3%		1																							Wastewater: encourage all homeowners to manage their own water disposal by installing soak pits, where the ground is suitable for drainage. Also to install rainwater collection tanks for garden and drought purposes	
22		1						1													1	1	1	1	Not sure what central coordination entails. Possibilities for providing subsidised or free accounting services (MYOB or XERO)					Enquires about cost of SWDC run composting or worm farm facility. Sales of compost would offset capital outlay		
23		1						1																							Costing for subsidised domestic rainwater tanks or promotion around domestic rainwater harvesting	
24		1				5%	wheeler bins and food waste collection kerbside	1							youth are the future of our community	1															Check if household stormwater storage can be increased. Check laterals to ensure only sewage goes to the system and not overloading system	
25		1						1																							Offer subsidy to property owners to install rainwater tank and grey water tanks	
27		1					Keen to see SW move forward and we need to spend money now to ensure the infrastructure and amenities can meet the needs of a growing community	1							Important to have space for further housing due to growth	1																Support building cycle and walking trails. Mba needs a trail around the vineyards. Electric buses and fast charge stations will be necessary soon
29		1	1			3%	Concentrate on core council infrastructure (water, roading, waste, recreation)	1							Central government or voluntary sector, not district	1																Provisionally, but must be transparent with rate of return
32		1					Agrees with increase subject to council urgently dealing with water storage, recycling and storm water issues	1							Not convinced that option 2 would increase rates in the future, would be driven by factors such as water, waste, roading	1																Provisionally, as long as we can see a visible rate of return
34		1						1							Vital we have clear vision for the shape of our towns for the future	1																Some of these needed for residents not just visitors
35		1	1					1							Central government issue, funding should come from them	1																Wairarapa wide role/roles
36		1				5%		1							The projected population growth seems low. Look at census results to see who is living in Mba	1																Supports composting. Would like residents to take responsibility for recycling items. Putting everything in one bin makes it hard for recycling.
37		1				3%	Can council be more efficient with funds in hand, not what programs to cut. E.g. consultancy fees or town hall which could have been done for 1/2 current cost	1							Should have been done long time ago	1																Provisionally, as long as we can see a visible rate of return
															Private funding is better - not a council brief	1																Will council be able to meet the increasing services needs of current and future residents in areas where funding on infrastructure e.g. water and drainage are sorely needed?
																																Cost effective way to promote the visitor experience
																																Not something council should be focused on
																																Reduce waste to landfill is worthy goal but current process encourage people to bury waste on farms. Item if has potential, resale of items could offset cost. Experiences with transfer station not good compared to other locations (poor road access, fees, assistance).
																																Lived 20 years in a desert and never had water restrictions. Locally more rainfall in a day than desert has in months. Is about capture and storage.

Sub No	Ratepayer				Rates Affordability		Future Growth and Development		Youth Training and Development				Promoting and enhancing our district				Infrastructure for visitors		Sports coordination		Reducing waste going to landfills				Water conservation			Fees and Charges								
	U	R	C	Non	Agree	Disagree	Rate level supported	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Amount	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Comment	Food waste	Wheeelle bin	E-waste	Recycling centre	Comment	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Comment					
71		1					Agree because can afford it, but realise there are people who struggle to pay	1		If rural land is subdivided do existing landowners have to pay urban rates just because they happen to live there or does the new rate only apply to land under a certain size?					Promotion is great but can the area tolerate the huge influx of residents/visitors?	1		\$50k sounds too light to improve facilities for expected numbers of visitors, suggests \$200k.				1				A. sounds messy, B. encourages people to put all waste including recyclables into the bin. Could we pay people to sort recyclables by hand?	1				Suggests a meeting first of interested parties and whether we really need to pay a consultant about this. There is a lot of talent in our area and we should start using this. 'Some winter water to use in summer'					
72				1														The natural environment must be the focus for a healthy future for the towns																		
74		1				3%	An 11% increase in 2 years is disgraceful. Not many businesses could stand that sort of cost increase and get away with it. Proposals are airy fairy.	1		Council should have the skills to deal with challenges as they come up. Planning can date quickly, think on your fee and make responsible decisions					Don't need promotion and logos. A lot of marketing can be done cleverly with low cost. If you do the basics of Council's jobs (roads, rubbish) the promotion of our area will take care of itself	1		Should be providing enough and as needed				1				Stick to the basics. Do what needs to be done as efficiently as possible. Leave the value added to private business	1				Leave to GWRC. Building dams is expensive compared to bores.					
76				1								1			YETE fully supports this proposal and is encouraged Council is willing to invest in the future of its younger residents																					
77		1						1		Consistent and coherent approach to spatial management is essential					Good initiative and would like to see a focus on activities for girls recreation	1		Consider alternative funding such as a tourist bed tax. Look at ways to obtain funding from visitors				1				Existing recycle bins are ok, kerbside food is good if composted and sold back to residents. E-waste is good but the user should pay	1				Still a lot of garden watering happening. Household tanks should be encouraged for emergency or dry weather capacity. Is water pipe leaks an issue? Include water efficient design for building consents					
78		1								Why must growth happen at all? The ultimate population of the district must be known/agreed, then we can work backwards and plan for it. Make a spatial plan but only after the intended population is known.					Clarity, unity and confidence benefits are nebulous, growth is arguably not a benefit, service in the shape of updating website is only thing supported.	1		Supported with proviso that cycle trails not be provided next to roads. The roads are fine for cycling and the Western Lake Rd trail is a disaster				1				a. Instead encourage residents to compost their own food waste b. wheelle bins encourage recycling which is the last and least effective strategy in waste minimisation. Instead promote reduction and re-use	1				Limit the growth of new subdivisions which place increasing demand on existing water supply and other services					
80		1					No further increases until Council show frugal spending. No more spending on Waihinga Centre			Neither option, and no more spending until community are properly consulted					No further projects until community are engaged	1		Facilities are a disgrace at the moment																		
82		1				3%	Biggest concern is increase in rates over next 2 years. The cost of living in Mba is increasing excessively. Spend less on water conservation, youth development, sports coordination, areas of waste.	1		The priority and will identify the demographic needs of the community and infrastructure needs.					No clear indication in the plan where this money will be spent. Council needs to work with NGO sector and take a collaborate approach	1		Put on hold until a spatial plan done. Not sure how community/ratepayers will benefit from having more facilities. As it is tourism is increasing prices to the point many members of the community can no longer enjoy eating out or having a drink in a local bar. Business owners are benefiting from tourism but avg ratepayer is not				1			More collaborative discussions need to happen before decision to spend and a spatial plan may provide priorities.	1				Complete spatial plan first. View is that most people compost their food waste or use for animals, don't see visitors using food bin. Wheelle bins nice to have on windy days but the problem is the waste bags left by weekend visitors that get torn by animals and scavenged by rates. Recycling is main priority.	1					
83		1				5%				Mixed views, not sure this is the best tool					Unnecessary, it sells itself. We need to slow growth as farming land is disappearing including the ability to feed ourselves	1		Definitely overdue								1				1	Plant for clean water, restore wetlands to ensure good filtering systems					
84		1					Inflation adjusted rating only, suggest consolidation of proposed work streams to ensure more efficient and affordable service purchase and delivery	1		Agree on understanding that future development is consistent with the natural character of the region and does not impose any loss of amenity values to residents or entail unexpected expenditure by Council as a consequence of defaults in terms of RMA responsibilities					Supports that investment be directed at facilities rather than direct funding of individual clubs/teams	1		Should be a medium term priority. Numbers, frequency of use, demands on facilities, impact on roading are poorly provided for in plan. There is catching up to do with waste collection and disposal at coastal location. Visitor activity has surged ahead of Council provision and we should pause to get teh management settings right before encouraging more of the same				1			Investment should be of facilities and maintenance of them	1				Sees evidence of local fly tipping because Council makes it difficult and expensive to dispose of refuse. The transfer station on Lake Ferry Road is not accessible at times when coastal residents and weekend visitors are travelling to and from the coast. The refuse collection from the villages from Whatarangi to Mangatoetoe is being compromised by the amount of residential waste and whiteware being disposed of in wheelle bins at the Ngawi camping site. Commercial users have been free loading the bins provided for the use of campers. The refuse disposal situation is literally a mess - and it needs a well executed response in order to have an efficient disposal and removal service. I do support e-waste disposal - by way of a central collection points and well advertised quarterly drop-offs in Pirinoa, Featherston and Martinborough. Council should investigate the sale of e-waste to off-set the service costs or tender to an e-waste business.	1					
85		1					No rates increases. No money for Wairarapa water project or Wgtn Airport. Money spent more efficiently. Already spend more money on rates than Wgtn	1		Limited options. Supports spatial planning but only if done with the other 2 Wairarapa councils and GWRC for most aspects and Wgtn councils for others. Spatial planning should be regional. Can get value for money if we work together. \$300k over 10 years will get us nothing of value.					Support, but money should be from cost savings in other areas and not a rates increase.				LED lights should be used throughout district, not just Mba. Budget for maintenance of the Western Lake Road cycle trail, why is this not mentioned in the LTP when the Greytown trail and Fstn to Mstn trail are? Cycle strategies are for us first tourists second and safe routes to school are a priority.									Support need, but support should be found through cost savings in other areas and not rates increase	1				Most urban lots can compost onsite. Expecting everyone to kerbside compost is unrealistic			
87		1						1		Spend the \$300,000 on jumpstarting the Fstn town centre					The only specific project listed is for the website and logo update, the cost seems high, surely this could be done for less	1															1	Investigation and investment in underground infrastructure to reduce water loss through leaking pipes				
88		1						1																												
89		1				3%	Inflation only. Development of Waihinga Centre, mayors travel	1		Council needs to modernise, attract new staff and recognise that people who live in area but commute are important eg pool opening hours. Better pool hours					As the gateway and larger population centre, Fstn should be the hub for South Wairarapa.	1		Fstn Info Centre should be funded fulltime and volunteer system reviewed. Locals should be encouraged to park on side streets and not Main St. Block off Revans Rd and divert all Mba traffic through the centre of Fstn				1				Locking the gate to Fstn Rugby Park and refusing a key is a breach of Health and Safety at Work Act, an ambulance had to be called recently and it couldn't get onto grounds	1				Neds to be a container at Mba Transfer Station where people can put things for recycling. People should be allowed to take stuff, not a health and safety issue.	1			Farmer restrictions on watering during the day. Why do we need to study everything, just ask another Council what they have done. Fix leaks in a timely manner.	Consent fees are outrageous
90				1				1		Support initiative to increase residential space in Mba from New York St. Believe the special rural area Puruatanga Rd/Toods Rd should be able to subdivide into lifestyle blocks where it is not suitable for viticulture.																										
93		1						1							The only tangible item listed is the website upgrade and logo/branding, think the about being spent on that is too high	1																1	Examples given make sense, but doesn't want a dam built in the name of conserving water. Very anti-dams that might aid and encourage dairy expansion			
96		1						1		Developing youth driven initiatives and allowing them spaces new industries (digital development, design/graphics, innovative industries) will enhance the region and promote alternative business																						1	There are other alternatives that could be explored with community partnership. The Trust is exploring Precious Plastics concept for Boundary Rd site - collecting and repurposing plastic waste into sale-able items			
97		1						1							Do not support expenditure on change of logo, requests they are done inhouse with existing funding	1		Option is inadequately scoped. We are not investing in physical infrastructure for the growing tourist market, and no regulation exists around bikes. Requests pavement for walkers/bikes along Princess Street North to Huangarua Rd and urgent plan for cycle ways where there are no pavements or formed road edges to address safety													1	Provide incentives to store rain water without huge compliance costs. Water is a basic right and usage is best managed by pricing				

