



Hearings Committee Meeting Agenda – 20 September 2023

NOTICE OF MEETING

This meeting will be held in the Supper Room, Waihinga Centre, 62 Texas Street, Martinborough and via audio-visual conference, commencing at 11.00am. The meeting will be held in public where noted and will be live-streamed and will be available to view on our [YouTube channel](#).

All SWDC meeting minutes and agendas are available on our website: <https://swdc.govt.nz/meetings/>

Committee Membership: Mayor Martin Connelly (Chair), Councillors Alistair Plimmer, Rebecca Gray, Pip Maynard, and Kaye McAulay.

A Open Section

A1. Mihi / Karakia Timatanga - Opening

A2. Apologies

A3. Conflicts of interest

A4. Confirmation of Minutes

Pages 1-5

Proposed resolution: That the minutes from the Hearings Committee meeting held on 16 August 2023 are a true and correct record.

B Submissions Hearings on Dog Control Policy and Bylaw

Time	Submission Number	Name	Page
11.05am	006	Tina Day (Cape Palliser Residents and Ratepayers Association)	62

C Deliberations

C1. Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw Hearings and Deliberations Report

Pages 6-66

D Karakia Whakamutunga - Closing



Hearings Committee
Minutes for Regulatory Policies and 2023 Speed Review
Hearings and Deliberations
16 August 2023

Present:	Mayor Martin Connelly (Chair), Councillors Alistair Plimmer, Rebecca Gray and Pip Maynard.
Apologies:	Councillor Kaye McAulay.
In attendance:	Amanda Bradley (General Manager Policy and Governance), Kaity Carmichael (Lead Policy Advisor) Sara Edney (Building Control Manager), Stefan Corbett (Group Manager Partnerships and Operations), Tim Langley (Roading Manager) and Amy Andersen (Committee Advisor).
Submitters:	Anne Hynds, Jeniah Peterson, Jenny Boyne, Ray Lilley, Storm Robertson, Rosy Fenwicke, Clem Beck, Jamiee Burns, John Van Vliet, Pauline Hedley, Elisabeth Creevey Doug Rowan, Louise Lyster, Guusje de Schot, and Beatrix Miller-Holley, Stella Bhati, Monty Miller-Holley and June Walker on behalf of South Featherston School.
Conduct of Business:	This meeting was held in the Supper Room, Waihinga Centre, 62 Texas Street, Martinborough and via audio-visual conference. This meeting was live-streamed is available to view on our YouTube channel. The meeting was held in public under the above provisions from 09.00am to 3:27pm except where expressly noted.

A Open Section

A1. Karakia Timatanga – opening

Mayor Connelly opened the meeting.

A2. Apologies

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/03) to accept apologies from Cr McAulay.

(Moved Mayor Connelly/Seconded Cr Plimmer)

Carried

A3. Conflicts of Interest

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

A4. Confirmation of minutes

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/04) to accept the minutes of the Hearings Committee meeting held on 19 July 2023 as a true and accurate record.
(Moved Cr Gray/Seconded Cr Plimmer) Carried

B Submissions Hearings on Regulatory Policies

There were no submitters present at the meeting.

C Deliberations on Regulatory Policies

C1. Local Easter Sunday Shop Trading Deliberations Report

Ms Carmichael spoke to the report and responded to queries from members regarding any restrictions to the sale of alcohol.

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/05) to:

1. Note the Easter Sunday Shop Trading Policy (the Policy) is due for review under section 5C of the Shop Trading Hours Act 1990 (the Act).
2. Note that formal consultation with the community on the Easter Sunday Shop Trading Policy is required under legislation and took place between 12 June 2023 and 10 July 2023.
3. Receive the 24 submissions made for the Easter Sunday Shop Trading Policy consultation (Appendix 2).
4. Note results of the consultation indicated that all submitters' preference was to retain the Policy with no substantive amendments.
5. Note that there are no members of the public who wish to speak to their submission.
6. Recommend to Council at its meeting on 27 September 2023 that it adopt the Easter Sunday Shop Trading Policy.

[Items 1-6 read together]

(Moved Mayor Connelly/Seconded Cr Maynard)

Carried

C2. Dangerous, Affected and Insanitary Buildings Deliberations Report

Ms Carmichael supported by Ms Edney spoke to the report and responded to queries from members regarding the purpose of the policy and provision of feedback to the submitters.

Members suggested looking at the Building Act Section 123(a)(2) to check any buildings of concern against this.

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/06) to:

1. Note the Dangerous, Affected & Insanitary Buildings Policy is due for review under section 132 of the Building Act (2004) (the Act).

2. Note that formal consultation with the community on the Dangerous, Affected and Insanitary Buildings Policy is required under legislation and took place between 12 June 2023 and 10 July 2023.
3. Receive the 16 submissions received for the Dangerous, Affected and Insanitary Buildings Policy consultation.
4. Note that there were two members of the public who wished to speak to their submissions, but were not present at the meeting.
5. Consider the submissions received and adopt the proposed Dangerous, Affected and Insanitary Buildings Policy.

[Items 1-5 read together]

(Moved Cr Plimmer/Seconded Cr Maynard)

Carried

D Submissions Hearings on 2023 Speed Review

The following submitters were heard by the Hearings Committee:

- Anne Hynds – Submission 007

The meeting was adjourned at 9:29am.

The meeting was reconvened at 10:10am.

- Jeniah Peterson – Submission 046
- Jenny Boyne – Submission 036
- Ray Lilley – Submission 043

The meeting was adjourned at 10:35am

The meeting was reconvened at 10:55am.

- Storm Robertson – Submission 077
- Storm Robertson on behalf of Martinborough Community Board – Submission 172
- Rosy Fenwicke – Submission 056
- Clem Beck – Submission 057
- Jamiee Burns – Submission 059
- John Van Vliet – Submission 060

The meeting was adjourned at 11:38am.

The meeting was reconvened at 12:30pm.

- Pauline Hedley – Submission 177
- Pauline Hedley on behalf of Jim Hedley – Submission 155
- Elisabeth Creevey – Submission 178

- Beatrix Miller-Holley, Stella Bhati, Monty Miller-Holley, June Walker on behalf of Featherston School – Submission 121
- Doug Rowan – Submission 159
- Louise Lyster – Submission 173

The meeting was adjourned at 1:15pm.

The meeting was reconvened at 1:26pm.

- Guusje de Schot – Submission 079

E 2023 Speed Review Hearings and Deliberations Report

Mr Corbett supported by Mr Langley spoke to matters in the report and responded to queries from member including: why Megamaps were not captured in the report, focusing on high benefit roads, whether the overall reduction of speed would lengthen the life of a road / reduce carbon emissions, variable speed signs and the budget for signage.

Action 321: To request Māori Standing Committee representatives to communicate proposals regarding signage near marae and report back to Council Officers prior to further recommendations to Council. *S Corbett/T Langley*

Action 322: To request Council Officers to report back to Council on options to make areas outside the Featherston sports grounds safer. *S Corbett/T Langley*

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/07) to continue the meeting past 3:15pm (meeting time elapsed more than six hours).

(Moved Mayor Connelly/Seconded Cr Plimmer)

Carried

HEARINGS COMMITTEE RESOLVED (HC2023/08) to:

1. Receive the 2023 Speed Review Hearings and Deliberations Report.

(Moved Mayor Connelly/Seconded Cr Gray)

Carried

2. Receive the full set of submissions on the 2023 Speed Review.

3. Note that 183 submissions were received and of those received submissions, 16 submissions were heard.

[Items 1&2 read together]

(Moved Cr Maynard/Seconded Cr Plimmer)

Carried

4. Recommend to Council a variable speed zone of 30km during morning drop off and afternoon pick up outside all schools in the district.

(Moved Mayor Connelly/Seconded Cr Gray)

Carried

5. Recommend to Council to consult on a Martinborough speed zone set at 40km/h.

(Moved Cr Maynard/Seconded Mayor Connelly)

Carried

For: Cr Maynard, Mayor Connelly, and Cr Gray
Against: Cr Plimmer

6. Recommend to Council to keep the speed on Western Lake Road at 60km/h until it reaches the railway line.

(Moved Cr Gray/Seconded Mayor Connelly)

Carried

For: Cr Maynard, Mayor Connelly, and Cr Gray
Against: Cr Plimmer

7. Recommend to Council to reduce speed on all gravel roads to 70km/h.

(Moved Cr Plimmer/Seconded Cr Maynard)

Carried

8. Recommend to Council that Council Officers further consider consultation feedback, including the content of oral submissions, on High Priority Roads (both in terms of inclusions and exclusions, and suggested safe speed levels) and report back to Council with final advice.

(Moved Cr Gray/Seconded Cr Plimmer)

Carried

F Karakia Whakamutunga – closing

Mayor Connelly closed the meeting.

The meeting closed at 3:27pm.

Confirmed as a true and correct record

.....(Mayor)

.....(Date)

Control of Dogs Policy & Bylaw Hearings and Deliberations Report

1. Purpose

This report provides the hearings committee with a summary of the analysis of the submissions on the Control of Dogs Policy and consultation. A full set of submissions received is provided in Appendix 3.

2. Executive Summary

The purpose of the hearings and deliberations process is for the committee to consider the community engagement and consultation, legislation, alignment with key strategic documents, and officer advice on the Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw. The committee will then make a recommendation to Council on whether to adopt the proposed Control of Dog Policy and Bylaw at the upcoming Council meeting on 27 September 2023.

3. Recommendations

Officers recommend that Council:

1. Receive the 'Control of Dogs Policy & Bylaw Hearings and Deliberations' Report.
2. Receive the 10 submissions made for the formal Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw consultation (Appendix 3).
3. Note that there is one member of the public who wishes to speak to their submission.
4. Recommend to Council at its meeting on 27 September 2023 that it adopt the Control of Dogs Policy, as per Appendix 1.
5. Recommend to Council at its meeting on 27 September 2023 that it amend the Control of Dogs 2013 bylaw, as per Appendix 1.

4. Background

Section 10 of the Dog Control Act 1996 requires local authorities to adopt a policy in respect of dogs in the district.

A dog control policy:

- shall specify the nature and application of any dog control bylaw made.

- shall identify any public places in which dogs are to be prohibited, either generally or at specified times, pursuant to a dog control bylaw.
- shall identify any public places and areas in which dogs (other than working dogs) in public places are required by a dog control bylaw to be controlled on a leash.
- shall identify any places that are to be designated by a dog control bylaw as a dog exercise area in which dogs may be exercised at large.
- must state whether dogs classified by SWDC or by any other local authority as menacing dogs are required to be neutered.

A dog control policy may also include other details such as fees or proposed fees, owner education programmes, dog obedience courses, the classification of owners, the disqualification of owners, and the issuing of infringement notices.

In addition to a dog control policy, Section 20 of the Dog Control Act 1996 empowers local authorities, in accordance with the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA), to make bylaws for dog control purposes.

The Council is empowered under the LGA to make bylaws for the following purposes:

- Protecting the public from nuisance
- Protecting, promoting and maintaining public health and safety, and
- Minimising the potential for offensive behaviour in public places.

A local authority must review a bylaw made by it no later than five years after the date on which the bylaw was first made. After the first review, further reviews are required every 10 years.

The current Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw were adopted in September 2013, following a review of the 2007 Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw. A review of the Control of Dogs Bylaw is therefore due by September 2023. In accordance with section 160A of the LGA, a bylaw that is not reviewed as required is revoked on the date two years after the last date on which the bylaw should have been reviewed (September 2025).

As part of this process, the existing policy must also be reviewed in line with section 10AA of the Dog Control Act which states that a local authority must review its policy if the bylaw implementing the policy requires review.

In August 2023, Council agreed that the proposed bylaw is justified as a reasonable limitation on people's rights and freedoms, is the most appropriate way of addressing the perceived problem of dog control in the district, is the most appropriate form of bylaw and is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. Council also adopted the Statement of Proposal for public consultation in accordance with the Special Consultative Procedure (SCP). Consultation took place between 3 August 2023 and 3 September 2023.

5. Discussion

5.1 Engagement

As part of the review process, initial engagement activities were undertaken to understand if the current bylaw and policy are working from a user perspective. All dog owners were provided with information on the Policy and Bylaw review process, as part of their dog registration in July 2023. Signage requesting feedback on our current policy and bylaw was posted at all Dog Parks, and information was provided on our social media and website. All members of the public were invited to provide initial feedback on the Policy and Bylaw. Eighteen members of the public provided feedback at the engagement stage. This feedback has informed the draft policy and bylaw for consultation and is included in Appendix 2.

Based on this feedback the following amendments to the policy/bylaw were made for formal consultation with the community,

- A purpose statement and policy principles have been added to increase the clarity of the policy.
- Minor editorial and formatting improvements have been done to make the policy easier to understand.
- An introductory statement recognising the value of dog ownership has been included in the Policy.
- Changes were made to align the bylaw with the policy and clarify that dogs are required to be on lead in all areas in the district.

In addition, our current policy and bylaw prohibits dogs from five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and in the Statement of Proposal, we put forward options for consideration by our communities.

5.2 Consultation

Formal consultation on the Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw occurred between 3 August 2023 and 3 September 2023, using the SCP. The opportunity to make a submission was provided to the community and identified key stakeholders were invited to make a submission. These included all schools and early learning facilities and veterinary services in the district. Branches of the SPCA and Service Dog Associations who service the district were also contacted. Copies of the Statement of Proposal and submission form were available to the public on the website and in hardcopy at the libraries in each town and the Council office. Additionally, signage notifying the public of the consultation was posted at all Dog Parks.

5.3 Submissions

A total of 10 submissions were received throughout the formal consultation process and one submitter requested to speak to their submission. Nine submissions were made online, using the online platform (SurveyMonkey). One submission was made via email.

6. Analysis

6.1 Submissions Analysis

Number	Submission Feedback	Officer Comment and Recommended Action
001	<p>Option 1: Maintain the stance of the current policy and bylaw, which is a prohibition of dogs in coastal camping areas (status quo).</p> <p>It would be good to know what actual feedback about allowing dogs in the camping areas is. For example some numbers, summary of feedback, maybe survey so that a person can make more weighted decision. It was not easy to identify amendments in the text. The summary of the changes could contain references to the document for each change, e.g. (1. Introduction, 3.1, 7.1). Another possible options is to use colour coding and show combined text of both versions. Text on green background means added text or newer version of existing. Text on red background means removed or previous version of existing.</p>	<p>We have changed our template for policies and bylaws, which make it difficult to demonstrate specific changes using tracked changes. This approach will be taken moving forward.</p> <p>The submitter did not provide accurate contact details and was not able to be provided with information as requested. The engagement and consultation feedback is provided in Appendix 2 and 3 and outlines the number of submitters who indicated a desire for dogs to be allowed in outlined camping areas.</p>
002	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)</p> <p>I would like it to be noted that our current mayor does not follow the bylaws and walks his dog off leash around Greytown, even going so far as to point out to me that it was lucky his dog wasn't on a leash as if it were, our dogs would be tangled up. I would suggest in future that we work towards no registration tags for dogs and just do compulsory microchipping as they do in other places like Sydney. The added tag seems unnecessary for microchipped animals. Incidentally, why do we have to pay registration fees annually? Surely once your dog is microchipped and registered it should be a lifetime registration. It seems to be a double standard as cat owners do not need to try and keep their pet on their property, or microchip it, or register it ever, let alone every year. Cats come on to our fully fenced property all the time and the owners do not have to take any responsibility for it.</p>	<p>Noted. Feedback provided to the Regulatory team for consideration in for future dog registration.</p>
003	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
004	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
005	<p>Option 2: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in coastal camping areas during specific times of the year at all sites (Example: allowed between 1 May and 31 October annually)</p> <p>Great to be able to take dogs to coastal areas when they aren't busy. Can we have maps so we know exactly where these areas</p>	<p>These are different – under the Dog Control Act (1996), all amendments to the policy are required to be completed using the special consultative procedure (outlined under the Local Government Act). Changes to the</p>

	<p>are? There are inconsistencies between policy and bylaw that we noticed that causing us some confusion.</p> <p>Example 1: The policy says adding a schedule may involve engagement under the Significant & Engagement Policy but the bylaw says there will be consultation under a section of the local government act. Are these the same thing?</p> <p>Example 2: Policy says exercise areas in Schedule B, bylaw says Schedule C. I think it means schedule B? There is no schedule C?</p> <p>Example 3: The public places prohibited in the policy don't follow exactly the public places in bylaw. Transfer station, pensioner flats in one but not other. Maybe just examples but can be confusing for us trying to follow the rules. Could they be matched to avoid possible interpretation issues? We don't want to be fined!</p> <p>Don't understand differences in clauses 6.1 and 6.2 and why one directs to schedule A and other to B? Cheers J</p>	<p>policy can be made without formally consulting under the Local Government Act, but would require consideration of Councils significance and engagement policy to determine the level of engagement required to make changes.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Both the policy and the bylaw refer to any public building or public place, under the control or management of the Council. However, wording has been changed to ensure for consistency between the documents.</p> <p>Clarified.</p>
006	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dog sin specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year.(Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)</p> <p>Proposal to Amend the Dog Control Bylaw – submission to the South Wairarapa District Council 15th July 2023. On behalf of the Cape Palliser Residents and Ratepayers Assn, we are proposing that dogs be allowed to accompany campers visiting the Cape Palliser camping area at Ngawi (Lot 101 DP 29304, coastal side of the Cape Palliser road, opposite the settlement). Many people are accompanied by their dogs while caravanning / holidaying around NZ. On the whole their animals are well controlled and well cared for members of their "family". We feel visitors will feel much more welcomed and law-abiding if their dogs are allowed officially to accompany them. On the understanding of course that their dogs are under control and on a lead where needed at all times. Signage to state this would be proposed, also the necessity to clean up after their dogs. Regards the Cape Palliser Residents and Ratepayers Committee.</p>	Noted.
007	Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dog sin specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year.(Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)	Noted.
008	Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year.(Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)	Noted.
009	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year.(Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)</p> <p>Stop legislating on breed as it is utterly stupid</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This is required under the Dog Control Act (1996).</p>

010	<p>Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year.(Example: have two sites designated as being ‘dogfriendly’.)</p> <p>I would like to see the third option adopted with regards to dogs at coastal sites. Some designated areas I.e. areas at Ngawi and Tora available to dogs. I would expect dogs to need to be under control at all times and on lead. I understand the need to protect farm areas from sheep measles so the right sites need to be selected.</p>	Noted.
-----	---	--------

In our current Policy and Bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration as part of the consultation process. Submitters were asked which of the following options they supported:

- Option 1: Maintain the stance of the current policy and bylaw, which is a prohibition of dogs in coastal camping areas (status quo).
- Option 2: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in coastal camping areas during specific times of the year at all sites (Example: allowed between 1 May and 31 October annually)
- Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being ‘dog friendly’.)

Of the 10 submissions received:

- 80% (8 submissions) indicated support for amending the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping areas at all times of the year (Example: have two sites designated as ‘Dog Friendly’).
- 10% (1 submission) indicated support to amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in coastal camping areas during specific times of the year at all sites (Example: between 1 May – 31 October).
- 10% (1 submission) indicated support to maintain the stance of the current policy and bylaw, which is a prohibition of dogs in coastal camping areas (status quo).

6.2 Additional Considerations

In addition to the community feedback, we also considered how the Policy and Bylaw may impact certain groups and sectors in our district from other information sources. This information is intended to complement the community feedback provided.

6.2.1. Impact on Farming Communities

The issue of ‘Sheep Measles’ has a major impact on rural communities which rely on maximising the returns from their livelihoods which is farming and meat production. Therefore, dog owners have a key role in reducing the spread of sheep measles which includes the control of where they interact with the rural environment. Increasing the current level of dog numbers from “outside” the region increases to potential for spread. The farming community places a lot of trust on dog owners to ensure their dog/s are appropriately treated and are restricted from roaming onto pasture from which their animals graze. When dogs defecate in or near pastures, it can release thousands of tapeworm eggs on grass, these live for months and can be carried for up to 10 kms by flies, other insects and wind.

Consideration must be given to the increase of dog numbers that the area would see and therefore the increase in potential adverse economic impact for the farming community.

6.2.2. Enforcement of Bylaw

South Wairarapa District covers a large area, with a number of coastal communities and recreational areas. Currently, we have two FTE officers, who are tasked with dog enforcement, as one component of a wider regulatory role.

7. Options

Options	Advantages	Disadvantages
1. Recommend to Council to adopt the proposed policy and bylaw, maintaining the status quo around coastal camping areas. This means that dogs will not be allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti).	Minimal further enforcement resourcing required. No mitigation required to protect farming communities in those areas from dog transmitted diseases. (ie. Sheep measles).	Did not align with the limited community feedback around dogs being allowed in coastal camping areas, particularly in Ngawi.
2. Recommend to Council to amend the proposed policy and bylaw, to allow for dogs in nominated coastal camping areas in as requested by a small number of people in the community.	Would align with community feedback around dogs being allowed in coastal camping areas, particularly in Ngawi.	Additional resources to enable enforcement of the bylaw in coastal camping areas would be required, especially during peak summer periods, would be required (ie. Changes to signage and additional staff resources). Consideration to mitigate the risks to farming communities in that area is recommended.

8. Strategic Drivers and Legislative Requirements

8.1 Significant risk register

- Relationship with iwi, hapū, Māori
- Climate Change
- Emergency Management
- IT architecture, information system, information management, and security
- Financial management, sustainability, fraud, and corruption
- Legislative and regulative reforms
- Social licence to operate and reputation
- Asset management
- Economic conditions
- Health and Safety

8.2 Significance, Engagement and Consultation

Consultation followed the SCP as outlined in the Local Government Act (2002) and the Dog Control Act (1996). The different ways that the community could have their say and present their views on the Statement of Proposal and was widely advertised. The consultation period ran from 3 August 2023 to 3 September 2023.

9. Financial Considerations

Costs associated with reviewing the policy, bylaw and community consultation sit within current budget baselines. Consideration would need to be given to enforcement costs associated with allowing dogs in coastal camping areas.

10. Prioritization

10.1 Tangata whenua considerations

Māori make up 14.2% of the South Wairarapa District population (Census 2018). Māori dog owners and members of the community may be affected. The consultation period was promoted to ensure that Māori have an opportunity to submit feedback.

10.2 Environmental/Climate Change Impact

The Policy and Bylaw have no direct impact on Environment and Climate Change.

11. Risks & Mitigations

11.1 Communications

A communications plan was developed.

12. Conclusion

The Hearings Committee recommendations will be put forward to Council for consideration on 27 September 2023.

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Draft Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw

Appendix 2 – Full set of Engagement Feedback

Appendix 3 – Full set of Formal Consultation Submissions

Contact Officer: Kaity Carmichael, Lead Policy Advisor

Reviewed By: Amanda Bradley, General Manager, Policy and Governance

Rick Mead, Manager Environmental Services

Appendix 1 – Draft Dog Control Policy and Bylaw



SOUTH WAIRARAPA
DISTRICT COUNCIL
Kia Reretahi Tātau

Control of Dogs Policy

Date of Last Approval	DD MMM 2023
Policy ID	PI-AMS-002 (previously H200)
Next Review	DD MMM 2028 In accordance with section 10AA of the Dog Control Act (1996), this policy must be reviewed if bylaw implementing policy requires review.
Version History	First adopted 19 September 2013 (H200) Amended

Contents

Control of Dogs Policy	3
1. Introduction	3
2. Relevant Legislation	3
3. Purpose	3
4. Scope	3
5. Principles	3
6. Nature and Application of Bylaws.....	3
7. Access to Public Places.....	4
8. Dog Exercise Areas.....	4
9. Menacing Dogs.....	4
10. Dog Owners.....	4
11. Dog Control Fees.....	5
12. Definitions.....	6

DRAFT

Control of Dogs Policy

1. Introduction

There are many medical, social and physiological benefits of owning a dog including reductions in levels of anxiety and stress, improved social life and increased activity. South Wairarapa District Council (SWDC) supports responsible dog ownership, protection of the public and the recreational needs of dogs and their owners.

2. Relevant Legislation

- » [Dog Control Act 1996](#)
- » [Local Government Act 2002](#)
- » [Animal Welfare Act 1999](#)
- » [Conservation Act 1987](#)

3. Purpose

3.1 The purpose of this policy is to set out how SWDC will:

- » ensure dog owners meet their obligations under the Dog Control Act (1996) and associated bylaws;
- » actively promote responsible dog ownership and the care and welfare of dogs;
- » prevent potential danger caused by dogs to the public, wildlife and natural habitats;
- » minimise potential distress and nuisance caused by dogs to the public (including children), wildlife and natural habitats; and
- » provide for the exercise and recreational needs of dogs and their owners.

4. Scope

4.1 The policy applies to all dogs that reside in or visit the South Wairarapa District.

5. Principles

5.1 The relevant principles of section 10 of the Dog Control Act are taken into account in preparing and applying this policy.

6. Nature and Application of Bylaws

6.1 In accordance with the Dog Control Act, SWDC has a bylaw that gives effect to this policy.

6.2 The Control of Dogs Bylaw specifies requirements for the keeping of dogs, including provision of shelter, limitations on the number of dogs allowed and control of dogs. The bylaw also specifies public spaces where there are restrictions on having dogs.

6.3 Breaching any part of the bylaw may result in penalties or infringement notices.

7. Access to Public Places

- 7.1 Dogs are to be kept on a leash at all times in public places within the South Wairarapa District, including public parks, reserves, pensioner flats, transfer stations, recycling stations and also public reserves in rural areas under the control or management of the Council.
- 7.2 Dogs are prohibited from any public building or public place, outlined in Schedule A.
- 7.6 Access of dogs may be controlled under other legislation, including the Conservation Act 1987 and Reserves Act 1977. Refer to www.doc.govt.nz to identify such areas in the South Wairarapa district.

8. Dog Exercise Areas

- 8.1 Dogs are not required to be kept on a leash in a designated dog exercise area, outlined in Schedule B, where they are under continuous surveillance and effective control.
- 8.2 The Council may, by resolution publicly notified make additions or deletions from the schedule. Where SWDC intend to make a resolution, engagement may be undertaken as required under our Significance and Engagement Policy.

9. Menacing Dogs

- 9.1 SWDC will classify a dog as menacing by breed, type or deed, in accordance with the Act (s.33A).
- 9.2 All dogs classified as menacing dogs must be desexed in accordance with s33E(1) (b) of the Act within one month after receipt of notice of the classification. In the case of dogs classified as menacing by another council, that the dog be neutered within one month of registration with the Council.
- 9.3 The menacing dog owner must, at their expense, provide evidence that the dog has been desexed or is unfit to be desexed by the specified date. If the appropriate evidence is not provided within one month, the dog may be impounded and will only be released to the veterinarian appointment to be desexed, as arranged by the owner.
- 9.4 In accordance with the Act (s.33E) a dog classified as menacing must be muzzled when in public places (unless confined within a cage or vehicle).

10. Dog Owners

- 10.1 Any person convicted of an offence under the Dog Control Act (s.21) or who commits three infringement offences (not related to a single incident) within a 24 month period, may be classified as a probationary owner.
- 10.2 A probationary owner may be required to undertake, at their own expense, a dog owner education programme or dog obedience course (or both).
- 10.3 A decision to classify an owner as a probationary owner can be appealed by the owner to Council.

- 10.4 Council must disqualify a person from being the owner of a dog if that person is convicted of certain offences, unless Council is satisfied the circumstances of an offence do not warrant disqualification.
- 10.5 Where a person who is classified as a probationary owner and is convicted of certain offences the Council may disqualify that person from being the owner of any dog. The disqualification can be for any period up to a maximum of 5 years. A disqualified owner must dispose of any dog/s owned within 14 days.
- 10.6 Council's will classify an owner as disqualified for an appropriate period as provided for in the Act. A decision to classify an owner as a disqualified owner can be appealed by the owner to Council.
- 10.7 Owner education is seen as an important part of the policy. Officers will work with dog owners and other stakeholders in the community to:
- i) Inform and educate dog owners and the general public about responsible dog ownership by raising awareness through education programs, distributing information and the use of media.
 - ii) Ensure complaints received are followed up by Officers with appropriate action taken.

11. Dog Control Fees

- 11.1 The Council will annually review its dog control fees to suit operational requirements. The structure of dog control fees will be aligned with the Annual Plan each year. The Council aims to self-fund dog control operations from dog control fees. All dogs over 3 months must be registered.
- i) Desexing - The Council will continue to promote desexing of dogs by imposing a lower registration fee for spayed or castrated dogs.
 - ii) Late registration - A late registration penalty fee will be added for all dogs not registered by the end of the first week of August as set by the Council of the registration year. The penalty fee will be 50% of relevant registration fee, or as set by the Council.
 - iii) Rural dogs - It is recognised that rural dogs cause fewer dog control problems and therefore a differential between rural and urban fees will apply.
 - iv) Disability Assist dogs - Disability Assist dogs, as defined by Schedule 5 of the Dog Control Act, will not be charged a fee upon registration.
- 11.2 All dogs (except working dogs) registered after 1 July 2006 must be microchipped. If the dog is not microchipped by SWDC, a microchip certificate is to be provided to SWDC within 30 days of registration. All impounded dogs will be microchipped before being released.
- 11.3 Every person who commits an offence, who does anything prohibited, or who fails, refuses or neglects to do anything to be done according to the South Wairarapa District Council Control of Dogs Bylaw and is liable to:
- i) The penalty provisions of section 242 Local Government Act 2002; or

- ii) Any other penalty pursuant to the Dog Control Act 1996; or
- iii) Be served with an infringement notice pursuant to section 66 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

11.4 The Dog Control Act provides for infringement notices for certain offences under the Act. Infringement notices can be served at the time of the offence on the owner as defined in the Act and generally the person in charge of the dog. The infringement requires an infringement fee to be paid or for the owner to request a court hearing in respect of the alleged offence.

12. Definitions

Menacing Dog By Breed refers to those dogs belonging wholly or predominantly to one or more breeds or types listed below.

- a) Brazilian Fila
- b) Dogo Argentino
- c) Japanese Tosa
- d) Perro de Presa Canario
- e) American Pit Bull Terrier

Menacing Dog by Deed refers to those dogs considered by Council to pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal or protected wildlife due to observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type.

Working Dog refers to any dog outlined in [Section 2](#) of the Dog Control Act (1996).

Disability Assist Dog refers to those dogs certified by one of the organisations listed in [Schedule 5](#) of the Dog Control Act (1996) as being a dog that has been trained (or is being trained) to assist a person with a disability.



**SOUTH WAIRARAPA
DISTRICT COUNCIL**
Kia Reretahi Tātau

**SOUTH WAIRARAPA DISTRICT COUNCIL
CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW 2013**

(as at DD MMM 2023)

Contents

SOUTH WAIRARAPA DISTRICT COUNCIL.....	1
CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW 2013	1
Contents.....	2
Referenced Documents.....	3
Bylaw History	3
Foreword.....	4
1. Title and Commencement.....	4
2. Scope.....	4
3. Interpretation.....	4
4. Dogs to be under control at all times	5
5. Shelter	5
6. Areas where dogs are prohibited and where dogs must be kept on a leash	5
7. Dog exercise areas	5
8. Diseased dogs.....	5
9. Bitches on heat	6
10. Dogs causing or becoming a nuisance or injurious to health	6
11. Limitation as to Number of Dogs Allowed	6
12. Dogs fouling in public areas	7
13. Conviction and fines.....	7
14. Power to amend by resolution	7
Schedule A: Areas where dogs are prohibited (except in a vehicle).....	8
Schedule B: Areas where dogs can be exercised off-leash	8

Referenced Documents

Reference is made in this document to the following New Zealand legislation:

- » Dog Control Act 1996
- » Local Government Act 2002

Bylaw History

Date of Decision	Description	Decision Reference
14 December 2005	Made Control of Dogs Bylaw 2005 which replaced Part 12 of the Council's Part 12 of the Council's Model General Bylaws NZS9201:1999.	DC2005/215
26 April 2006	Amendments to Control of Dogs Bylaw 2005 pursuant to Section 156 of the Local Government Act 2002.	DC2006/47
25 July 2007	Made Control of Dog Bylaw 2005 as part of a review of Control of Dogs Bylaw 2007.	DC2007/120
25 July 2007	Commencement of Control of Dog Bylaw 2007.	
19 September 2013	Made Control of Dogs Bylaw 2013 as part of a scheduled review of Control of Dogs Bylaw 2007. A key change related to the ability for Council to make changes by way of resolution to the schedules of the bylaw.	DC2013/164
1 October 2013	Commencement of Control of Dogs Bylaw 2013	
XX XXX 2023	Review of Control of Dogs Bylaw 2013 completed	DC2023/XX
XX XXX 2023	Made amendments to Control of Dogs Bylaw 2013.	

This bylaw must be reviewed by XX MONTH 2033. If not reviewed by this date, the Bylaw will expire on XX MONTH 2035.

Foreword

This Bylaw is made under section 20 of the Dog Control Act 1996 and section 145 of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA). This bylaw draws from the New Zealand 9201 Standard Part 12 Dog Control Bylaw. NZ9201 series are model bylaws covering various matters under local authority jurisdiction.

1. Title and Commencement

- 1.1. The title of this bylaw is the South Wairarapa District Council Control of Dogs Bylaw 2013.
- 1.2. This Bylaw comes into force throughout the South Wairarapa district on 1 October 2013.
- 1.3. Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution DC2023/XX come into force on DD MMM 2023.

2. Scope

- 2.1. The purpose of this Bylaw is to set standards of control that must be observed by dog owners. It covers matters such as dogs in public places, wandering dogs, ownership of more than one dog, and nuisances caused by dogs.
- 2.2. This bylaw is not the only major control document for dog owners. The Dog Control Act 1996 also addresses various issues such as registration, barking dogs, dogs attacking people or stock and other matters.

3. Interpretation

- 3.1. In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires:

Disability Assist Dog means the same as that specified in the Dog Control Act 1996 and includes a dog certified by one of the following organisations as being a dog trained to assist (or as being a dog in training to assist) a person with a disability:

- » Assistance Dogs New Zealand
- » Hearing Dogs for Deaf People New Zealand
- » K9 Medical Detection New Zealand
- » K9 Search Medical Detection
- » Mobility Assistance Dogs Trust
- » New Zealand Epilepsy Assist Dogs Trust
- » Perfect Partners Assistance Dogs Trust
- » Royal New Zealand Foundation of the Blind Incorporated
- » Any organisation specified in an Order in Council made under section 78D of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Dog Control Officer means a dog control officer appointed under section 11 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Council means the Governing Body of the South Wairarapa District Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf.

Public Place means public place as defined in section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996:

- » a place that, at any material time, is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or effect any person from that place; and
- » Includes any aircraft, hovercraft, ship or ferry or other vessel, train, or vehicle carrying or available to carry passengers for reward.

4. Dogs to be under control at all times

- 4.1. The owner, or any person in charge of having control of any dog, shall keep such dog securely tied up or otherwise effectively confined or under his/her direct control.

5. Shelter

- 5.1. Every owner of a dog shall ensure that the dog is provided with adequate shelter and that no suffering is caused to the dog by the manner of the shelter.
- 5.2. No dog owner shall keep a dog in any shelter that is not on a hard surface and that does not provide shelter from the elements.
- 5.3. No dog owner shall keep a dog in any shelter that is not kept in a clean condition and free from dampness.
- 5.4. No dog owner shall keep a dog beneath the floor of any building, or in the case of a building with more than one floor, in the under-floor areas i.e. beneath the bottom or ground floor of that building.

6. Areas where dogs are prohibited and where dogs must be kept on a leash

- 6.1. The owner of a dog shall not cause or permit to allow such dog to enter or remain in or on any part of any street or public place declared by resolution of the Council to be subject to the provisions of this clause unless such dog is being carried in a vehicle. The streets and public places as resolved from time to time shall be detailed in Schedule A of this bylaw.

7. Dog exercise areas

- 7.1. The Council may declare by resolution areas designated as dog exercise areas. The owner of a dog may exercise his or her dog without it being on a hand held leash in any area designated as a dog exercise area provided the dog is kept under continuous and effective control at all times. The dog exercise areas as resolved from time to time shall be detailed in Schedule B of this bylaw.

8. Diseased dogs

- 8.1. No person owning or having control or charge of any dog infected with an infectious disease shall take the dog or permit or suffer the dog to enter or remain in any public place or wander free and at large.

9. Bitches on heat

- 9.1. The owner or person in charge of a bitch in season must keep it confined so that it is unable to enter or remain in any public place or wander free and at large.
- 9.2. Notwithstanding clause 9.1, any such dog shall be regularly exercised.

10. Dogs causing or becoming a nuisance or injurious to health

- 10.1. The owner of the dog, or owner or occupier of any premises where any dog or dogs are customarily kept shall take adequate precaution to prevent the dog or dogs, or the keeping thereof, from becoming a nuisance or injurious to health.
- 10.2. If, in the opinion of the Council, the dog or dogs, or keeping thereof on such premises, has become or is likely to become a nuisance or injurious to health, the Council, or any person duly authorised on that behalf by the Council may, by notice in writing, require the owner or occupier of the premises within a time specified in such notice to do all or any of the following:
 - 10.2.1. Reduce the number of dogs kept on premises;
 - 10.2.2. Construct, alter, reconstruct or otherwise improve the kennels or buildings or fencing used to house or contain such dog or dogs;
 - 10.2.3. Require such dog or dogs to be tied up or otherwise confined during specified periods;
 - 10.2.4. To clean and keep clean the dog kennel and associated area;
 - 10.2.5. Take other such action as the Council deems necessary to minimise or remove the likelihood of nuisance or injury to health.
- 10.3. Any person to whom notice is given under the preceding provisions of this clause who fails to comply with such notice within the time therein specified shall commit an offence against this bylaw.

11. Limitation as to Number of Dogs Allowed

- 11.1. No occupier of any property in an area which has predominantly urban character under the District Plan prepared by Council shall allow or cause to remain or keep on such premises for any period exceeding fourteen days, or more than two dogs other than working dogs, over the age of three months (whether or not such dogs are registered) unless such occupier shall be the holder of a permit for such purpose from the Council.
- 11.2. Such permit may be issued upon or subject to such terms, conditions, restrictions as the Council may consider necessary and any breach of such terms, conditions or restrictions shall be a breach of this bylaw.
- 11.3. Any person wishing to keep more than two dogs on any premises as provided in the bylaw shall make written application to the Council in such form as may be required by the Council for a permit and shall give to the Council such information in respect of the application as the Council may require.

- 11.4. The Council may decide by resolution that a fee must be paid before a permit is issued in accordance with section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002.
- 11.5. The fee for such permit shall be payable in addition to and separate from the dog control fees payable under the Dog Control Act 1996.

12. Dogs fouling in public areas

- 12.1. Where any dog defecates in any public place or a private way or on land or premises other than that occupied by the owner of the dog, that owner must remove the faeces forthwith and dispose of them in a hygienic manner.

13. Conviction and fines

- 13.1. Every person commits an offence who does anything prohibited under this bylaw or who fails, refuses or neglects to do anything to be done, according to this bylaw, and is liable to:
 - 13.1.1. the penalty provisions of section 242 of the Local Government Act 2002; or
 - 13.1.2. any other penalty pursuant to the Dog Control Act 1996; or
 - 13.1.3. be served with an infringement notice, pursuant to section 66 of the Dog Control Act 1996

14. Power to amend by resolution

- 14.1. The Council may from time to time by resolution publicly notified:
 - 14.1.1. Add schedules
 - 14.1.2. Make additions or deletions from the schedules
 - 14.1.3. Substitute new schedules.
- 14.2. Where Council intends to make a resolution under clause 14.1, Council will comply with the consultation requirements of section 156 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Schedule A: Areas where dogs are prohibited (except in a vehicle)

- i) Any public building or public place, including any swimming pools, cemeteries, library buildings or recreation centres, under the control or management of the Council and any other areas where dogs may pose a threat to the public.
- ii) Any area developed or marked out as a sports field (not including any spectator area) during sporting events, or any outdoor court, skateboard park or cycle park.
- iii) On any area that is developed or marked out as a playground or contains children's play equipment.
- iv) The following coastal camping areas:
 - » North Tora
 - » South Tora
 - » Ngawi
 - » Ngawi Surf Breaks
 - » Te Awaiti

Schedule B: Areas where dogs can be exercised off-leash

- » **Featherston Dog Park:** Corner Johnston Street and Harrison Street West
- » **Greytown Dog Park:** Corner southern end of Cotter Street and Pierce Street
- » **Martinborough Dog Park:** Roberts Street Road Reserve (between Weld Street and Ferry Road)

Appendix 2 – Full set of Engagement Feedback

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 28, 2023 3:52:21 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 28, 2023 4:08:59 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:38
IP Address: [Redacted]

Engagement Feedback 001

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name: Roger Barton
Address: [Redacted]
City/Town: [Redacted]
ZIP/Postal Code: [Redacted]
Email Address: [Redacted]
Phone Number: [Redacted]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
Dog exercise areas,
Beach, coastal and camping access rules,
Nuisance and dangerous Dogs

Q3

Please provide your feedback

I chair a meat quality organisation known as [Redacted] My primary interest in dog control is attempting to get dog owners to understand that the poor management and control of their dogs can have negative affects on the sheep and goat meat industry. Sheep measles is not a human health problem but more on of eating quality. Dog owners have no commercial imperative to understand the issue as it generally (unless they are farming sheep and /or goats) don't recognise the issue. I would be encouraged if council would keep the issue of sheep measles or more correctly Taenia Ovis on the public's radar merely as an educational contribution. Dogs that are untreated for the tapeworm are a risk in areas running sheep/goats commercially. Beach, coastal and camping areas are often in more emote and rural areas with dogs often taken unleashed and with more lax management regarding removal of dog faeces, the source of infection from tapeworm eggs. The district spends a lot of ratepayers money on economic development and protecting the districts existing income streams is as important as developing new ones. Thanks for the oppportunity to contribute from a rural perspective.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 30, 2023 10:35:05 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 30, 2023 10:35:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:29
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 002

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Janet

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
Where dogs are allowed off-leash,
Dog exercise areas,
Beach, coastal and camping access rules,
Nuisance and dangerous Dogs

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Respondent skipped this question

#3

Engagement Feedback 003

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, July 03, 2023 11:29:00 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 03, 2023 11:30:17 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:16
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

[REDACTED]

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Q2

Nuisance and dangerous Dogs

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Repeat offenders need to be dealt with. I am sick and tired of the dog in our parts wandering into our property. You have told the owners and nothing has changed.

#4

Engagement Feedback 004

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, July 03, 2023 11:32:25 AM
Last Modified: Monday, July 03, 2023 11:37:04 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:38
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Pip
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Where dogs are allowed in the District

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Dogs banned at the fairs..way to hot and tripping over leashes. Hazard. Tired hot dogs snapping at kids. Is a safety issue. From experience and having argument with one owner. An accident waiting to happen. They need to be fined and removed.

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, July 03, 2023 3:18:16 PM
Last Modified: Monday, July 03, 2023 3:22:31 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 005

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Kate Throp
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Other (please specify):
Dog [REDACTED] and wandering dogs

Q3

Please provide your feedback

I think the policy and bylaws are good. I would just like to see them enforced a little more - rather keen not to have dogs rushing me when I am on my own property or using my lawn as a toilet. Also acknowledging that picking up dog [REDACTED] in bags is fabulous. Throwing said bags into gardens/under hedges or anywhere other than a rubbish bin is not great. Perhaps we can get more bins for this purpose around town.

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
 Started: Monday, July 03, 2023 6:22:18 PM
 Last Modified: Monday, July 03, 2023 6:36:55 PM
 Time Spent: 00:14:37
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 006

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Jacqui Eyley
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
 Where dogs are allowed off-leash,
 Beach, coastal and camping access rules

Q3

Please provide your feedback

I would like to see greater acceptance of dogs and their owners and their role within the community. The policy and by law is an incredibly negative document and does not recognise the benefits of dog ownership to their owners or the greater community.

There is much research to show the mental and physical health benefits. I used to take my dog to the library where children loved to pat her, they learnt to talk to adults in a safe environment, experienced the pleasure of interacting with a pet and became more confident. Now we're creating fear in our children and destroying opportunities for building understanding and strengthening communities. Some libraries run read to dog programmes to encourage reading and learning, that certainly wouldn't be welcomed with current rules.

I bought one of those Martinborough posters recently which showed a couple and their dog having a picnic on the square. It saddened me how far we've come from that pleasant scene - the dog was off lead and they had a bottle of wine in their basket, both would contravene the current laws.

Let's make the community one we'd all want to live in. Let's tolerate all people, whether they're refugees, diverse genders or dog owners.

Otherwise I'm off to Europe where you can play boules with your friends, enjoy a glass of wine and have a dog in the town square.

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, July 04, 2023 11:02:40 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 04, 2023 11:08:45 AM
Time Spent: 00:06:05
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 007

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Melissa Mead
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
 Where dogs are allowed off-leash,
 Dog exercise areas,
 Beach, coastal and camping access rules,
 Nuisance and dangerous Dogs

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Dogs should continue to be leashed in CBDs and high streets, around town etc. and outside of dog parks/exercise areas.

Dogs should be allowed off lead at lakes and beaches and exercise parks IF the dogs can be recalled and owners are responsible with their dogs approaching other dogs who may be leashed and/or reactive.

More should be done to educate about dog etiquette in exercise areas (such as not letting dogs randomly approach others, despite being in off-lead areas. Control is still important.)

You could offer incentives for dog obedience and owner education classes/online modules - such as cheaper registration if you can prove you've completed an obedience class or a short online module about dog ownership and etiquette.

More should be done to stop public fouling (more bins etc.)

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, July 04, 2023 8:35:48 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 04, 2023 8:39:20 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:31
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 008

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Bryce Neems
Address [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]
Phone Number [REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Other (please specify):
Companion dogs

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Owners over 65 need to register their dog but no charge as dogs make good companions and company for the elderly. Follow Cartertons lead

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, July 05, 2023 12:19:35 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, July 05, 2023 12:35:51 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:16
IP Address: [Redacted]

Engagement Feedback 009

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Charmaine Hard
Address [Redacted]
City/Town [Redacted]
ZIP/Postal Code [Redacted]
Email Address [Redacted]
Phone Number [Redacted]

Q2

Nuisance and dangerous Dogs

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Please acknowledge the truth about the ongoing danger to the public and community by menacing, nuisance and dangerous dogs. To date the Council have taken a weak approach to the prevention of attacks on people and animals! For the sake and safety of all NZers please seriously consider my submission;
*Reaffirm the COMPULSORY neutering policy for menacing, nuisance and dangerous dog breeds.
*Introduce an ELIMINATION policy! Put down any menacing, nuisance, or dangerous dog ALIVE after a certain date. ENFORCE it!
*Have STRICT consequences, and owner penalties, for dogs found roaming or unleashed in public.
*Insist on fenced properties to contain dogs.
Thank you.

#10

Engagement Feedback

010

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, July 07, 2023 2:28:37 PM
Last Modified: Friday, July 07, 2023 2:30:32 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:54
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Joanna Baldwin
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Where dogs are allowed in the District

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Schedule A, item 1
What about Dogs in Togs event at Featherston pool?

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, July 08, 2023 1:35:56 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, July 08, 2023 1:50:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:58
IP Address: [Redacted]

Engagement Feedback 011

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name: Lynne Abbott
Address: [Redacted]
City/Town: [Redacted]
ZIP/Postal Code: [Redacted]
Email Address: [Redacted]
Phone Number: [Redacted]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on? Where dogs are allowed in the District, Where dogs are allowed off-leash

Q3

Please provide your feedback

- 1. Dogs should be completely banned from the Martinborough Fair, Toast Martinborough and any other large scale event.
2. Many dogs are walked off leash in the village and it's surrounds. Our property borders [Redacted] Rd and the majority of dogs walked there are off leash - these are urban dogs, not farm dogs. Owners believe they have their dog/s under control but it's patently obvious that most of them don't and boy do they get upset when something is said to them.
3. Many dogs are allowed to run free and play together at Considine Park while owners chat. Not ideal for non dog loving humans to have two or three dogs galloping up to them and running round their legs.

Overall: don't get me wrong, although I'm a cat owner (and yes we keep them inside at night to avoid them hunting), I am an animal-lover and like dogs a lot. However I think owners need to be better trained and educated ... could there be some sort of intervention at dog registration time that reminds urban dog owners of their responsibilities, and the penalties they face for non-compliance?

#12

Engagement Feedback 012

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, July 10, 2023 2:29:41 PM
Last Modified: Monday, July 10, 2023 2:40:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:11:07
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Neil & Greg Montgomerie-Crowe
Address [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]
Phone Number [REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,

Where dogs are allowed off-leash

Q3

Please provide your feedback

We live on the outskirts of Greytown - on [REDACTED] We have many people using the road for exercising their dogs, which are usually off lead. The owners of these dogs are usually walking at some distance from them and we even have a number of people driving their cars whilst the dog runs etc. Unfortunately we have seen dogs barking at our animals - we have cattle, sheep, alpacas in the paddocks along the road. When we have approached the owners some regard it as a joke - something funny that their dog does - barking at other animals. We have also found that many owners (particularly those in cars) are not picking up the dog poo.

Engagement Feedback 013

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

11th July 2023

Policy and Governance Team
South Wairarapa District Council
PO Box 6
Martinborough 5741

SUBMISSION TO CONTROL OF DOGS POLICY

Ngawi Camping Areas – in front of Ngawi Settlement

As a resident of Ngawi, we have been trying over the past 5 years to reverse Council's decision to ban dogs from the Freedom Camping areas at Ngawi, and have been waiting for the Bylaw to finally come up for renewal.

I am in support of allowing dogs back into the camping areas at Ngawi. Dogs form an important role of companionship and we have witnessed many a campervan/motorhome arrive during the week and weekends only to read the No Dogs signs, turn around and find somewhere else to camp, hence dotting them all around the coastline. By camping in the camping area, the campers at least have access to Toilets and Rubbish disposal.

This rule has, over the years, discouraged good, responsible campers who had been visiting this area for many many years, who now unfortunately no longer camp at Ngawi. These campers used to help out with maintenance and attend working bees that we undertake out here to maintain and beautify the camping ground areas.

I would like to see the Bylaw changed to allow dogs on leads and owners responsible for picking up their own dog's mess:

I would like to see the following wording inserted:

- Dogs are permitted 'on-leash' at the Ngawi free camping areas, Legal description Lot 101 DP29304, Coastal side of Cape Palliser Road, opposite the settlement area. Owners are required to pick up after their dogs at all times.

Yours faithfully,

Kim

Kim Hayes
[REDACTED]

#14

Engagement Feedback 014

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, July 18, 2023 8:55:46 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 18, 2023 9:09:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:13:45
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Cape Palliser Residents & Ratepayers
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?	Where dogs are allowed in the District, Beach, coastal and camping access rules
--	--

Q3

Please provide your feedback

On behalf on the CPRRA, we are proposing that dogs be allowed to accompany campers visiting the Cape Palliser camping area at Ngawi (Legal description Lot 101 DP 29304, coastal side of the Cape Palliser road opposite the settlement).

Many people are accompanied by their dogs while caravanning / holidaying around NZ. On the whole their dogs are well-controlled and well-cared for members of their "family".

We feel visitors will feel much more welcomed and law-abiding if their dogs are allowed to officially to accompany them. On the understanding of course that their dogs are under control and on a lead where needed at all times. Signage to state this would be proposed, also the necessity to clean up after their dogs.

Regards the Cape Palliser Residents and Ratepayers Assn.

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, July 13, 2023 12:36:01 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, July 13, 2023 12:44:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:08:53
IP Address: 115.189.80.113

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Ian Warren
Address	s7(2)(a)
City/Town	s7(2)(a)
ZIP/Postal Code	s7(2)(a)
Email Address	s7(2)(a)
Phone Number	s7(2)(a)

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?	Nuisance and dangerous Dogs, Other (please specify): Barking dogs
--	--

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Continual Barking of dogs are a great annoyance to anyone working outside enjoying their garden or just relaxing. The council should have a vigorous action plan to have this stopped. This plan should be advertised so all have knowledge that something can be done when this occurs. Since covid the number of dogs in and around Martinborough has increased dramatically. Also I have a problem dogs on the footpath outside eating places when young infants are around in pushchairs or walking past

#15

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, July 18, 2023 11:37:00 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, July 18, 2023 11:42:18 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:18
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Engagement Feedback 016

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Donna
Address [REDACTED]
City/Town [REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code [REDACTED]
Email Address [REDACTED]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
Where dogs are allowed off-leash,
Dog exercise areas,
Beach, coastal and camping access rules

Q3

Please provide your feedback

Dogs should be allowed in all areas of the district.
As a dog owner, I feel more comfortable with dogs being leashed at all times whilst in public, with exception to off-leash dog parks. It's safer for other dogs.
More dog exercise areas would be great. More access to places where people are allowed to take their dogs.
Beach, coastal and camping areas should be dog friendly and open for people to take their dogs. There are already warnings of bird nesting areas and responsible dog owners respect this. I would like to see more dog friendly camping spots within the south wairarapa.

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, July 22, 2023 9:54:47 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, July 22, 2023 10:04:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:10:03
IP Address: [Redacted]

Engagement Feedback 017

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name: Louise Lyster
Address: [Redacted]
City/Town: [Redacted]
ZIP/Postal Code: [Redacted]
Email Address: [Redacted]
Phone Number: [Redacted]

Q2

What parts of the Policy or Bylaw do you want to comment on?

Where dogs are allowed in the District,
Where dogs are allowed off-leash,
Dog exercise areas,
Beach, coastal and camping access rules

Q3

Please provide your feedback

In comparison with other Councils in the Wellington region, South Wairarapa allocates insufficient public areas to exercise dogs (1) off leash and (2) under control. Council could designate additional reserves and unmarked sports grounds for dogs to be exercised. Eg Considine Park and the vacant land adjacent to Mtb Golf Course could be made available dog owners during certain times of the day/year. Have a look at reserves/parks that other Councils in the region make available for dog owners and see what you can do in our area. Many visitors travel with dogs - I have friends from Wellington who stay at an Air BnB in Masterton once a month because they can exercise their dog at Henley Lake.

Engagement Feedback 018

Te Awaaiti Station,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Email
[REDACTED]

D T S Riddiford BA LLB
Export & Business Consultant

Ms Kaitlyn Carmichael.

Dear Ms Carmichael

Consultation Dog Control policy

Personal Introduction

I and my wife Diana farm Sheep, Cattle and Deer at [REDACTED] on the Coast east of Martinborough. We farm steep hill country at the end of the road. Along with our farming neighbours we face 35% + drop in sheep returns and 16% inflation of farm costs.

Our Livestock Manager [REDACTED] manages 14 well behaved dogs which never stray from [REDACTED] and are regularly drontal dosed and vaccinated as required.

However we have a large challenge from sheep measles from dogs from the nearby Te Awaaiti campground. 80% of the campground ie the bed of the Oterei River remains in the Crown Grant name of my Great Grandfather [REDACTED]

Campers routinely ignore the signs forbidding dogs at the campground. On one occasion I asked §7(2)(a) [REDACTED] the SWDC Dog Ranger to remove two large dogs in the expectation that the cost of his time and vehicle travel would be reimbursed to the ratepaying residents of the South Wairarapa District by the automatic Infringement Fee. Instead he made a personal decision to waive the fee (and make a Christmas Present)

These nuisances could be simply avoided by requiring overnight campers to register online for a \$20 camping fee per night together with all personal particulars. Non registration of vehicles will expose the non compliant camper to an automatic fine of \$200.

The initial consultation

Thankyou for your explanation this morning that :

- 1 17 submissions had been received, but you could not explain how many came from Farmers
- 2 This was an initial request for submissions to inform a new draft Control of Dogs Policy and Bylaw with a further consultation to follow with another opportunity for submission to follow
- 3 You were unable to confirm or correct my belief that dogs were solely funded from Rural
- 4 You were unable to locate a copy of the Consultation for the recent rates increases, which would have provided information on funding sources.
- 5 My submission today would be duly received and considered, although submitted 4 hours late.

Little consideration of cost efficiency....The ratepayers will pay

I was surprised that the new policy was apparently to be formed as objectives, without any consideration of cost efficiency or money.

The existing policy states

3-8 The Council aims to self fund dog control operations from dog control fees, with the exception that from time to time the Council may approve a contribution from the general ratepayer base.

3-8 (iii) Rural dogs It is recognised that rural dogs cause fewer dog control problems and therefore a differential between rural and urban fees will apply.

These provisions should remain

The new Policy must carefully follow s101 LGA 2002

S101 Financial management ...Local Government Act 2002

- (1) A local authority must manage its revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities, investments, and general financial dealings prudently and in a manner that promotes the current and future interests of the community.
- (2) A local authority must make adequate and effective provision in its long-term plan and in its annual plan (where applicable) to meet the expenditure needs of the local authority identified in that long-term plan and annual plan.
- (3) The funding needs of the local authority must be met from those sources that the local authority determines to be appropriate, following consideration of,—
 - (a) in relation to each activity to be funded,—
 - (i) the community outcomes to which the activity primarily contributes; and
 - (ii) the distribution of benefits between the community as a whole, any identifiable part of the community, and individuals; and
 - (iii) the period in or over which those benefits are expected to occur; and
 - (iv) the extent to which the actions or inaction of particular individuals or a group contribute to the need to undertake the activity; and
 - (v) the costs and benefits, including consequences for transparency and accountability, of funding the activity distinctly from other activities; and
 - (b) the overall impact of any allocation of liability for revenue needs on the current and future social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of the community.

Pig Dogs

The new Policy should describe pig dogs as working dogs for remote farmers [Refer s2 Dog Control Act]

LGOIMA Questions

38 Please send by email and hardcopy all Annual Accounts or Enterprise statements for Dog Control since 2018 showing the greatest possible level of detail

39 Please on those accounts identify by page number the deficit in each year and explain how it was funded eg from rural

40 Please identify all policy documents governing how the Dog Control should be funded (as well as the existing Dog Control Policy) and email and mail copies of those documents.

Yours Sincerely Dan Riddiford

Appendix 3 – Full set of Formal Consultation Submissions

#1

001

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 10:30:45 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 10:45:44 AM
Time Spent: 00:14:58
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Anatoly Kazantsev
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 1: Maintain the stance of the current policy and bylaw, which is a prohibition of dogs in coastal camping areas (status quo).

Q3

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

It would be good to know what actual feedback about allowing dogs in the camping areas is. For example some numbers, summary of feedback, maybe survey so that a person can make more weighted decision.

It was not easy to identify amendments in the text. The summary of the changes could contain references to the document for each change, e.g. (1. Introduction, 3.1, 7.1). Another possible options is to use colour coding and show combined text of both versions. Text on green background means added text or newer version of existing. Text on red background means removed or previous version of existing.

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#2

002

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 11:34:44 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 11:50:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:16:06
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Mrs Jacobs
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

I would like it to be noted that our current mayor does not follow the bylaws and walks his dog off leash around Greytown, even going so far as to point out to me that it was lucky his dog wasn't on a leash as if it were, our dogs would be tangled up. I would suggest in future that we work towards no registration tags for dogs and just do compulsory microchipping as they do in other places like Sydney. The added tag seems unnecessary for microchipped animals. Incidentally, why do we have to pay registration fees annually? Surely once your dog is microchipped and registered it should be a lifetime registration. It seems to be a double standard as cat owners do not need to try and keep their pet on their property, or microchip it, or register it ever, let alone every year. Cats come on to our fully fenced property all the time and the owners do not have to take any responsibility for it.

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#3

003

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
 Started: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 3:13:50 PM
 Last Modified: Wednesday, August 09, 2023 3:14:56 PM
 Time Spent: 00:01:06
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name Alison sim
 Address [REDACTED]
 City/Town [REDACTED]
 ZIP/Postal Code [REDACTED]
 Email Address [REDACTED]
 Phone Number [REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#4

004

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, August 10, 2023 7:22:25 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, August 10, 2023 7:24:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:36
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Andrew Sim
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#5

005

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
 Started: Thursday, August 17, 2023 10:26:40 PM
 Last Modified: Thursday, August 17, 2023 10:48:01 PM
 Time Spent: 00:21:21
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name **Jerome**
 City/Town [REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 2: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in coastal camping areas during specific times of the year at all sites (Example: allowed between 1 May and 31 October annually)

Q3

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Great to be able to take dogs to coastal areas when they aren't busy. Can we have maps so we know exactly where these areas are?

There are inconsistencies between policy and bylaw that we noticed that causing us some confusion.

Example 1: The policy says adding a schedule may involve engagement under the Significant & Engagement Policy but the bylaw says there will be consultation under a section of the local government act. Are these the same thing?

Example 2: Policy says exercise areas in Schedule B, bylaw says Schedule C. I think it means schedule B? There is no schedule C?

Example 3: The public places prohibited in the policy don't follow exactly the public places in bylaw. Transfer station, pensioner flats in one but not other. Maybe just examples but can be confusing for us trying to follow the rules. Could they be matched to avoid possible interpretation issues? We don't want to be fined!

Don't understand differences in clauses 6.1 and 6.2 and why one directs to schedule A and other to B?

Cheers J

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#6

006

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, August 18, 2023 3:37:44 PM
Last Modified: Friday, August 18, 2023 3:56:17 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:32
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Cape Palliser Residents & Ratepayers
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Please refer to our original submission.
We support dogs being allowed in all coastal camping sites at Ngawi.

Q4

Yes

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#7

007

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
 Started: Friday, September 01, 2023 8:39:16 PM
 Last Modified: Friday, September 01, 2023 8:40:55 PM
 Time Spent: 00:01:39
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Jessica Ford
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#8

008

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, September 02, 2023 8:12:03 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, September 02, 2023 8:14:30 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:26
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	Noeline Wells
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Q4

No

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

#9

009

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, September 03, 2023 1:02:05 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, September 03, 2023 1:03:52 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:46
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Statement of Proposal

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note that your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

Name	AJ Johnson
Address	[REDACTED]
City/Town	[REDACTED]
ZIP/Postal Code	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]

Q2

In our current policy and bylaw, dogs are not allowed in five coastal camping areas within the district (Ngawi, Ngawi Surf Breaks, North Tora, South Tora and Te Awaiti). We have heard from the community that there is an interest in this prohibition being reviewed and we have put forward a few options for consideration. We would like to know which option you support.

Option 3: Amend the policy and bylaw to allow for dogs in specific coastal camping sites at all times of the year. (Example: have two sites designated as being 'dog friendly'.)

Q3

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the draft policy or bylaw?

Stop legislating on breed as it is utterly stupid

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Would you like to speak on behalf of your submission at the hearings?

From: [REDACTED]
To: [submissions](#)
Subject: Dog control policy
Date: Friday, September 1, 2023 7:19:21 PM

Hi,

I would like to see the third option adopted with regards to dogs at coastal sites. Some designated areas I.e. areas at Ngawi and Tora available to dogs. I would expect dogs to need to be under control at all times and on lead. I understand the need to protect farm areas from sheep measles so the right sites need to be selected.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
80 Fraters Road

Sent from my iPad