

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Related to the point above and the upgrade to the walkway links, this shared space lacks legible termination. This will read as a higher hierarchy of a space and connection than the (in my professional opinion) more important connection to the railway station. Connection of these in a legible fashion will be key to addressing wayfinding and linking of activity within the town centre.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Indicative landscape design for the area needs to be further progressed by a suitable professional in order to create a lasting (and therefore value for money) space that will achieve the desired amenity outcomes. Additionally, as in other answers within my submission, consideration of CPTED, building interface, future development potential, rail corridor requirements need to be further developed.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

A opportunity has been missed for integration of this plan with the introduction of the combined district plan. In particular the introduction of medium density zoning is not picked up in the district plan zoning. I have made a separate submission on this issue as part of the plan change process, with the hearing yet to be held. Integration of the train station is key to the justification and activation of all other initiatives, therefore should be identified as the priority project. Creating this link will be key to realizing other opportunities. Additionally, the town heart project, as I have commented previously in its current form is compromised due to the lack of connection to the rail and associated development potential. As such this issue should be addressed prior to the introduction of this project.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Regarding consultation throughout the project, I have attempted to be in touch with council regarding the master plan development several times over the last few months since moving to Featherston at the beginning of 2023. My attempts at contact have not been successful. In particular I followed direction on the council website to be notified of consultation, of which I received an email saying I had been put on a mailing list. I have received no further correspondence since then. I subsequently followed up at an event at the market and left my contact details with a verbal assertion from the officers involved that I would be added to the mailing list regarding further events, however have also not received anything further. Apart from my interest as a resident of Featherston, I also have an interest from a professional stand point. I am a senior urban designer with experience in New Zealand, the UK, Africa and the Middle East, specialising in spatial planning and large scale integrated masterplanning. I returned recently from London, where I worked for a large multi-national consulting firm, and I am currently working with McIndoe Urban based in Wellington. I am involved with projects for council's around the country, consulting on both spatial and master planning, and providing advice and design review services to strategic planning and resource consenting teams. As such I have been interested in being involved with the consultation process and development of the master plan, however due to the lack of contact, this has not been possible. I have indicated that I would like the opportunity to present at the hearing for the master plan where I would like to present, from a professional stand point, in more detail regarding the feedback covered in this submission.

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 16, 2024 9:26:55 PM
Last Modified: Friday, February 16, 2024 9:50:11 PM
Time Spent: 00:23:16
IP Address: 115.189.135.135

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Daniel King
Organisation (if applicable)	NA
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

I don't see any focus on anything that could increase Featherston's general prosperity. Relying on the book town and increasingly fragile hospitality is not going to bring you more commercial rates. What plans do you have to attract business, or more work-from-home workers to Featherston?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Neither particularly inspires me, I'm sorry.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
These principles don't feel like they are aimed at someone like me, and I don't feel that they represent me. We should attempt to be representative of all of our residents, rather than an excessive focus on some.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
Every page seems to emphasis and enumerate the restrictions precisely (you can't go down this road any more, you will be slower here etc) in return for a much woolier set of promises. At least, try to put a metric on the benefit, so we can tell if we should undo or unwind these restrictions if they don't do what they promise?

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
This feels unnecessary to me.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:
I'd like to see more reassurance around the train service honestly, especially if you plan to have a much higher population using it. Is this joined up in thinking with the rail authorities? Will there be the capacity to service them?

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:
I think you should allow the potential use of industrial and residential. I don't agree with overly restrictive planning at all. Let the use case find its feet organically, we can't properly predict this.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:
As above.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I'm generally pretty skeptical. These predictions and efforts always get it wrong. Let people find their uses organically, and support them as they go, rather than crash bang upgrades that don't do what they are supposed to (e.g. the squircle).

Make options for areas, not restrictions. Increase our freedom to do things, rather than trying to guess our intentions years from now.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

Absolutely not. Usually only carpet bombing and rent controls wreck an area more effectively. Do you want more decaying "heritage" buildings in featherston? I think we have enough already.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Sure, so long as they are more than 500km away.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Respondent skipped this question

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Do you have any comments?:

I'd honestly need to know more. What's the problem we're trying to fix here?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I'm not in favour.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

They all seem to be like a nice to have, if you can first fix the flooding, cost disease / rates blowout and dealing with derelict 'heritage' buildings. Ask again when you fix those.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

See above.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

No thank you. Keep it as is.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

See question 15.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

See question 15.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

See question 15.

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

There's far too many pages talking about trivialities and minor annoyances, and generally not enough focusing on the problems most would face here - huge cost disease at SWDC, rates becoming unaffordable, flooding and water management etc. If you wrote a plan that said "We're literally just going to concentrate on the bread and butter of basic infra - road maintenance, water maintenance, cut costs everywhere else and generally loosen planning to let our citizens themselves decide their environment" I think you'd have a winner. Clean your room first, get it in order, then create an environment where the residents can organically choose their future. We're not smart enough to plan this.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

No, thank you for reading this if you got this far.

#29

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, February 17, 2024 10:33:06 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, February 17, 2024 10:59:39 AM
Time Spent: 00:26:33
IP Address: 202.174.168.151

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Mary and Peter Biggs
Organisation (if applicable)	Featherston Booktown
Postal address	██████████
Address 2	██████████
Email Address	████████████████████
Phone Number	██████████

Q2

Yes (in person)

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

There needs to be deeper focus on what will make Featherston a thriving community - namely, how do we attract more successful businesses to establish themselves in Featherston, how we enable them to thrive and how do we deal with negligent commercial landlords whose buildings along or near the main street are an eyesore.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?: we do support them - but two things are missing: (1) how do we ensure that Featherston's "brand" is distinctive; and (2) there is no guiding principle around ensuring that Featherston flourishes economically. Without the latter, all of the principles are simply lovely words

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?: a proper cycle lane to the swing bridge down Underhill Road, along with a tar-sealed road to the bridge plus tree planting along the route to the bridge. NB: the trucks going up and down Underhill Road servicing the quarry are a major safety hazard for cyclists and walkers. it is still mystifying how the quarry got resource consent given the Featherston Spatial plan and the future strategy for Featherston as a growth node.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Wallace, Bell streets

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Yes

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

The planting of appropriate trees along the main street is crucial to enhancing Featherston. This shouldn't be too hard. Alongside the trees, there should be adequate parking spaces for visitors and shoppers. Trees should not be the reason for removing substantial numbers of car parking spaces.

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Featherston Booktown supports a Booktown precinct and is keen to engage further to explore what this might look like in more detail. However, it should be noted that Featherston as a whole is a Booktown with bookshops and book experiences throughout the town.

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#30

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, February 17, 2024 8:31:31 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, February 17, 2024 9:30:28 PM
Time Spent: 00:58:57
IP Address: 101.53.216.191

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Jack Sheppard
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Yes (online)

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
"Thriving" is far nicer than mere "resilience" - ask Christchurch residents how they feel about that word.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
It's youth, we do it for the next generations.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

It's pretty good. The town is drastically imbalanced thanks to Broeren's Ruins, and it would be great to get continued flow and more happening all the way over to the War Memorial end of town.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Emphatically so. Wairarapa Moana is a priceless taonga that has been stolen from mana whenua and robbed from all residents, tauwi or otherwise the spiritual connection we all deserve with this big lake begins by being able to access it and share it with our kids.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Sure, the key here is not to limit and restrict it to a certain category, but rather to enhance and optimise optionality so that a residential house can go there OR a start-up cheese factory inside a warehouse (ie the next Cest Cheese). I.e. do not limit to only industrial or residential or commercial when there is a desire for greater flexibility at infinitesimal risk to existing residents.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Yes, as above optionality is key here. International research shows that a 'main street' survives and thrives by having people LIVE on it, above the storefronts. Japanese local regulations essentially allow for anyone to turn their downstairs front room into a small trading shop. We should be encouraging more families to live on the main street and more businesses to tether their attached residences to their businesses (like the Bottle Store and Kia Ora Dairy. Owners Bhairav and Ekta Patel would be wonderful to consult on this because they live out back of their shop).

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

If you think about it, EIGHTY PERCENT OR MORE or intra-Featherston trips should be conducted by bike or on foot; there is no need for a motor vehicle.

C: Welcome Features are functionally useless and should be drastically de-prioritised. We know where we are, and we're the ones living here. "Welcome" is provided by the community at events and through interpersonal connections, not some council sign on a wall let's save that cost.

K: the grass park with picnic tables between Daniel Street / the rail corridor would be perfect to dig a 10-metre or so deep urban stormwater garden. I'm sure SWDC engineers are able to draw up a stylish pit with natives that would store and divert large amounts of stormwater instead of building up onto the SH2 road surface.

D: another crossing on SH2 would be fantastic, generally speaking more traffic-calming measures would be great along this stretch.

G: This is a HUGE one. The chemist carpark is a death trap and we are lucky to not have lost many there. It is axiomatic that a connection be made for pedestrians to walk the 50m between the doctor and the pharmacy. I realise this may require purchase of a corner of Dale and Caroline's carpark there; this is easily worth the health and safety benefits of not forcing unwell residents to drive around the block to the pharmacy and its deathtrap carpark. This one is IMHO huge low-hanging fruit to be attacked with vigor and vim.

B: This is where the only public water fountain on this whole map is, in the 'squirle'. To facilitate better sports and better transport, there really needs to be a drinking fountain at the bottom (south east) corner of Card Reserve there for both athletes and commuters to access (kind of where the campervan tap is located opposite the dog park).

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

No time to think about heritage when we have bills to pay, sorry! I think Featherston already does a good job with amateur historians and to be blunt the history is not that long, important, worthy of enshrining under strict NZ heritage law.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

I am more interested in pre-European history and heritage areas, if available.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

I don't think that reducing the level crossings will contribute to the intended effect of the Town Heart proposal. The main problem is still crossing over/through SH2 (for example, SH2 & Wakefield is a nightmare intersection), while the back roads with level crossings such as Brandon Fox and Bell are all fine insofar as cycling/vehicle exchange goes. This appears to be resolving a problem that does not exist, and would be a good place to save money by abandoning this part of the plan. In fact, this would shift more cars over to Birdwood Road, where you know the kids playground and Clifford Square model train set are. I don't want to see MORE cars going down there!

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Don't close the Fox Street crossing, I have seen no evidence that this will provide any benefit. I am actually a cyclist who rides to the station, and instead of closing this crossing to reduce motor vehicle traffic, I suggest that cyclists have their own lane carved off for right-turning bikes going up Johnston to the station / going down Daniel to SH2.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

This all looks very good and very overdue. Well done.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

f: Fox Street level crossing does not have to close, it's overkill.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

It's quite an unusual detour and would need to be well-signposted for out-of-towners coming from Martinborough.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

The skate park - play area is a huge issue and I don't think this is going to fix it. There is a skate park which you can't even skate to - there's no continued footpath going there. Really, the solution is to close off Fox Street where the toilets/Waimarie is, so that it's a little cul-de-sac for long-distance buses to pull into and go to the toilet. This makes it much easier for parents to cross from Fox Street onto the triangle traffic island, and then again over to SH2. I understand the fire department have concerns about this, but these can be easily mitigated too by either (1) moving the firestation onto the main street into the rubble of Broeren's Ruins seized by eminent domain; or (2) creating a tunnel out the back of the existing fire station over the infamous easement on the "lemon land", so that all fire engines will exit straight onto SH2 for maximum speed and efficiency (thus freeing up Fox Street/Birdwood intersection for humanist and people-centric designs, such as closing off Fox Street to create a small cul-de-sac / pedestrian mall there).

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

14.5.b - to be honest, this little triangle cut-outs of Fox Street could both be pedestrianised entirely. Close them off, brick them over, encouragement outdoor dining, and open them up to angle parking for some additional main street parking - they are easily wide enough. Just imagine that space on Fox outside the war memorial with European style tables outside.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

probably at least run a hedge up the Johnston Street fenceline so that there isn't this ugly rail corridor visible the whole time?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Yup looks OK except for things like the Welcoming signage and other things before which I've spoken out against.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

It is a damn good effort. Challenge all respondents "Why aren't 80% of your intra-Featherston journeys conducted on bike or by foot?" There is a wonderful solution to the puzzle of our three-way corridor (SH2 + rail + Fox) and we have a real chance to build a beautiful town centre for people to use that will be the envy of other towns.

I know it's expensive, but nowhere in this plan has it mentioned a tunnel or overbridge for pedestrians and bikes to get over/under SH2.

#31

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, February 17, 2024 8:35:48 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, February 17, 2024 10:05:07 PM
Time Spent: 01:29:18
IP Address: 203.211.111.125

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Marcus Harvey
Organisation (if applicable)	Nil
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
No - don't care about a vision. They're out of date in 5 minutes. Just get a plan in place and implement it on time and budget. Ensure whatever is don't is to a high quality and hold bad contractor work to account. More important than a vision. We were told in a recent council plan that Featherston would not be growing. Where did that get us?

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I've seen too many principles in the past. Again don't care. Stop talking and get stuff done. Involve tangata whenua in consultation - no issue with that.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
Focus on the town. The Moana is a long way from town.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:
Route to the mountains from the train station. Could make a lot of trampers getting off and on the train.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No,

Do you have any comments?:
No we need to promote the town as open for business. Housing growth can happen elsewhere. At best make it mixed use.

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

Do not make Featherston a heritage town. Leave that to Greytown and Martinborough. Make Featherston a point of difference by being modern and open for business. Do not constrain growth by demanding new heritage style buildings, or constraining development through protecting old buildings that are past reasonable use or repair. Make more commercial space available. Houses over shops. Stop the carparking constraint for developer. Council should provide more parking options not less. People have to drive to Featherston and it's their first or last stop for the hill. Modernise the town. Be different. What does a heritage area mean for homeowners? It's likely to adversely affect what they can do to their property. That should not be imposed by council. Let other towns play on their heritage. Let's go modern.

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Improve shelter on the Featherston train station platform south end where most Featherston people walk to. Improve station signage pointing to town. Improve electronic signage for waiting passengers. It's all pointing in the wrong direction. Improve transport services for Featherston. Too few buses to and from Masterton to make it viable for work. Shift workers that finish around 1.30pm have to wait two hours for the school service. It's a great idea to improve the space around the footpath to the Station from Bell St. The footpath is fine but the rubbish and overgrown shrubs are an awful look. Also have a footpath running down the westside of the railway track between Fox and Fitzherbert St. Currently you have to walk over to Daniel St. Not currently a direct route for people going to the station or into town. It would create a straight path from town to station. We already have a 3m path along Johnston St to the station. Save money we don't need a new path, develop around it.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Not completely see comments.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Fox Street is a major road feeder for the station. Do not close vehicle access! I see the amount of car use every day. Do not force traffic onto Wakefield St the crossing onto / into SH2 is terrible. It will force more traffic onto Bell St where there is a school. Birdwood St is being developed to be a slow lane. Terrible idea closing Fox St to vehicle traffic. It will make it worse and unsafe elsewhere. It's a major alternative of days where we can't cross because of traffic going to Martinborough or the rest of the Wairarapa for events. We have to use Fox St on those days. Incredibly bad idea. If this feedback is not listened to then regardless the cross needs to work much better as a connector to town for pedestrians. It is terrible currently for elderly, pushchairs and wheelchairs.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

There would be a better linkage to build a footpath on the west side of the train track between Fox and Fitzherbert Sts. It would link that side to the pharmacy and those shops. It get's rid of the dogleg to Daniel St. It goes direct to teh station. I still like the other development work for Danielle St though. Do both.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Fox Street is a major road feeder for the station. Do not close vehicle access! I see the amount of car use every day. Do not force traffic onto Wakefield St the crossing onto / into SH2 is terrible. It will force more traffic onto Bell St where there is a school. Birdwood St is being developed to be a slow lane. Terrible idea closing Fox St to vehicle traffic. It will make it worse and unsafe elsewhere. It's a major alternative of days where we can't cross because of traffic going to Martinborough or the rest of the Wairarapa for events. We have to use Fox St on those days. Incredibly bad idea. If this feedback is not listened to then regardless the cross needs to work much better as a connector to town for pedestrians. It is terrible currently for elderly, pushchairs and wheelchairs.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Keep the existing 3m path. Save money. Do the rest.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Ensure that people can use these new spaces. The Squircle suffered by having too many constraints on its use and we gave up on it. The pétanque court was almost never used and almost never been maintained. Cross Creek Railway had to remove its raised miniature railway line to improve the look. The railway suffered from that making loading difficult and stopped visiting steam engines from steaming up. Don't put stupid rules in place. Let people find out how best to use spaces.

The crossing point to Clifford Square from the Squircle is a major thoroughfare for people. Make sure the Cross Creek Railway railway line across Fox St is appropriately protected. If there is an improved walk crossing for the public in that area, ensure cars cannot park too close on the approaches. At present it is very dangerous when cars park close and no one can see properly. Lots of children cross there for the skate park. Consider slowing traffic down.

Lots of people stop for the town toilets and the playground. Too many carparks have been removed in the immediate area. There's nothing directly outside the toilet, or on Birdwood St in the plan. I have had to direct many people to the town toilet – they can't find it. Improve signage. The area is used by a lot from out of town. They won't be able to find the carpark next to Chicken and Frog bookstore.

Ensure Cross Creek Railway can continue to develop the railway. This has the potential for having more clubs visit and steam trains running on the track. Don't overly protect areas! Cross Creek would like to add a steaming bay back on its branch line beside the Squirkle and additional railway lines outside the engine shed and between the skate park. Train loading zones at Clifford Square will also be required in the future. This makes train loading much safer.

I approve of any initiative to join and improve the space between Clifford Square and the Joy Cowley playground.

#32

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
 Started: Saturday, February 17, 2024 10:08:09 PM
 Last Modified: Saturday, February 17, 2024 10:14:20 PM
 Time Spent: 00:06:10
 IP Address: 203.211.111.125

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Marcus Harvey (Chair)
Organisation (if applicable)	Cross Creek Railway Society
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	Nil
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5 Respondent skipped this question

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6 Yes

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7 Respondent skipped this question

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8 Yes,
If yes, which other routes?:
Make more of the connection to Cross Cree, the incline and the cycleway. Currently the sinposting for people and cyclists to the cycle way is terrible. Lots of people are getting lost by not knowing they can go straight up Wakefield St. Improve those two SH2 crossing points at Western Lake Rd and Wakefiled Sts. Improve connection from there to the railway station.

Q9 Respondent skipped this question

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10 Respondent skipped this question

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11 Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19 Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20 Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21 Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22 Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23 Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

The crossing point to Clifford Square from the Squirle is a major thoroughfare for people. Make sure the Cross Creek Railway railway line across Fox St is appropriately protected. If there is an improved walk crossing for the public in that area, ensure cars cannot park too close on the approaches. At present it is very dangerous when cars park close and no one can see properly. Lots of children cross there for the skate park. Consider slowing traffic down.

Ensure Cross Creek Railway can continue to develop the railway. This has the potential for having more clubs visit and steam trains running on the track. Don't overly protect areas! Cross Creek would like to add a steaming bay back on its branch line beside the Squirle and additional railway lines outside the engine shed and between the skate park. Train loading zones at Clifford Square will also be required in the future. This makes train loading much safer.

Cross Creek Railway approves of any initiative to join and improve the space between Clifford Square and the Joy Cowley playground.

#33

Please see Appendix 4 - *Email Submissions* for the full submission from Ross and Erin Geange.

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:31:13 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:32:58 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:44
IP Address: 118.149.82.201

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Ross and Erin Geange
Organisation (if applicable)	Longwood Farm
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Yes (in person),
Yes (online)

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Respondent skipped this question

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6 Respondent skipped this question

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7 Respondent skipped this question

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8 Respondent skipped this question

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9 Respondent skipped this question

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10 Respondent skipped this question

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11 Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#34

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:43:18 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:16:49 AM
Time Spent: 00:33:30
IP Address: 27.252.85.114

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Annelise Schroeder
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Environmental planning and making our town resilient to the climate emergency. Less hard paving; more gardens, swales and permeable surfaces; stormwater collection, storage and reuse; EV chargers, beautification of our streams, and proper maintenance of our native bush reserves.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring,

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:

"Workers, families and creatives" makes us sound like a Soviet village - and a huge proportion of the community aren't any of these things. I don't think a strapline like this is particularly helpful.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:

"Involve" and "support" aren't the best verbs - they sound very "them and us" and "active vs passive". Surely mana whenua are part of us, so why would they require us to "involve" them as if they're separate? We are together tangata whenua and tangata Tiriti. Mana whenua should be at the centre of decisions about land and resource use.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

They need to be signposted, safe and well maintained, not just a pretty line on a map

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:

Around town. People need to get from their houses to the CBD, the library, the pool, other houses, etc, safely. Too many roads have rough edges or potholes, there are too many missing footpaths, and accessibility for people in wheelchairs and motorised chairs is embarrassing. Let's make this a walkable town.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

I fully support this idea.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

No.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

I have too many comments about this proposal, which is not well thought through and lacks even basic principles and definitions. What is heritage?! That question needs to be answered before any proposals are put together. People are worried by the unknown. They do not understand the priorities and the options and so cannot assess whether they are in favour. This part of the masterplan, unfortunately, lacked detail. Featherston has massive potential when it comes to interpreting, celebrating, recording and sharing our heritage. Please don't just make it about paint colours on old houses owned by white men.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

No, Featherston's layout is not suited to one heritage precinct and the term "precinct" isn't appropriate for pepper-potted areas around town. Our heritage includes industrial heritage with the Batavian factory, military heritage with our camp site, cultural heritage with our pou, sculptures and art, environmental heritage with our moana, architectural heritage with our homesteads, villas, bungalows and state houses - and dozens of other sites, objects, archives and taonga. But we urgently need to look at how the council can better support Featherston's heritage in all its guises.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Respondent skipped this question

Q15

No

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Wholly opposed to the closure of Fox Street level crossing and disappointed by Kiwirail's lack of consultation and concern for our town. Bell Street or Bethune Street would be much better.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Concerned about any loss of parking spaces. We don't wish to encourage car use within Featherston, but our local businesses require spaces for passing traffic to park.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Making Daniell Street one-way south makes no sense. People won't be able to turn into Daniell Street from SH2 to find parking.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

It makes no sense!

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

In favour, but I hope there is prominent signage to the carpark near the playground. I understand the RSA has plans to build a deck on the paper road. This space would be better utilised for vehicle access to the carpark. The carpark also needs upgrading to make it safe.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Fully in favour.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Please do not waste money putting exercise machines in this area. Make it a pleasant place to walk and cycle.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#35

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:49:44 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:30:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:41:06
IP Address: 27.252.85.114

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	David Coates
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

No

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
No

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:

No

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

In part. I support the concept of a master plan as essential for the planned development of our community. I do not support aspects of the suggestions.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Prioritising? No. Inclusion in an overall access plan? Yes.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Item d: I support the necessity of improving the ability of pedestrians to cross Fitzherbert Street.

Item G: I support the necessity of creating access from Fitzherbert Street to the medical centre and community centre. The traffic hazards created by either Waka Kotahi or kiwirail that block access to off-street parking near the pharmacy are asinine in their stupidity.

Item H: The railway station: Leave it where it is. Create an accessway, either over or under the tracks from the platform to Harrison Street East.

Items N, O and P: The proposed closure of the Fox Street rail crossing is, almost without question, the stupidest proposal in this entire document. And, it appears, is completely without foundation. IF there is an actual safety concern then perhaps greater controls, such as barrier arms, would be a better solution. Closure is utterly unnecessary. And, in fact, irresponsible and counterproductive.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

But only subject to a detailed, consulted study and an agreed process that meets the concerns of residents.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

No.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Other than my earlier comments, no.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Utterly, blindingly stupid.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Perhaps the stupidest idea since Julius Caesar decided to invade Caledonia.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

No

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Item A: Make Daniell Street one way. Opposed.

Item F: Closing Fix Street level crossing to other than bikes and pedestrians. Don't be so effing stupid.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Utterly ridiculous.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

No.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Item B: I principle the upgrading of Fox Street to make it more appealing is fine but NOT at the expense of the level crossing.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

The entrance/exit to the railway station carpark is, at Johnson-Harrison Street intersection, supposedly a stop sign. It's a take your life in your hands experience trying to cross on the South side. better policing of this intersection and a blitz of "didn't-stop" fines might be of encouragement to motorists?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Generally in agreement but some of the timeline is pure wishing-hoping-maybe speculation.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

No.

#36

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:16:14 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 12:09:04 PM
Time Spent: 00:52:50
IP Address: 115.189.132.16

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Rohan Cahill-Fleury
Organisation (if applicable)	N/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Making sure that all new buildings and public areas are future proofed for climate change. Harnessing rain water, using solar, strategic tree planting etc. Optimising central town for pedestrians and cyclists. Safe walkways linking schools, playgrounds and shops.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5

Yes

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6

Yes

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7

Yes

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8

Yes,

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

If yes, which other routes?:

Continuous footpaths i.e not changing sides of the road e.g around the playground to make it easier for buggies, small children and wheelchair users.

Q9

Yes

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Pedestrian train station access from Harrison St East to make it quicker to reach from that side of town.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Link from Harrison St East to train station

Q15

Yes

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Better to have good pedestrian connections. A couple of blocks in the car makes no difference.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Support an area that can be closed off safely for events

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Excellent area for community fruit trees and natives

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

I support the increase in safe pedestrianised areas, housing densification (when well thought through) and an increase in public art and community spaces.

I would love to see more green areas such as berm plantings, community orchards, shady areas and plantings to mitigate flooding.

#37

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 12:15:33 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 12:35:10 PM
Time Spent: 00:19:36
IP Address: 103.235.92.112

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Steve Coyle
Organisation (if applicable)	not applicable
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

no

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I find vision principles fundamentally pointless and meaningless.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I do not support cultural appropriation of any type . I also do not support the whole concept of guiding principles and find it unnecessary and a waste of space .

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

The town of Featherston is the road gateway to the Wairarapa from the south . As such its priority must be the the enticement and retention of traffic with facilities to accommodate these.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Pedestrian and cycle connections are all very well but hardly a priority . Better parking and traffic flow around and through he town are far better served than a minor tourist feature.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#38

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:57:53 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 12:43:52 PM
Time Spent: 00:45:58
IP Address: 103.235.92.112

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Belinda Milnes
Organisation (if applicable)	None
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Yes (in person)

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

1. Increasing parking and signage about parking
2. Encouraging more vehicles to make a spontaneous decision to stop and visit
3. A facility for trailers and caravans to stop and shop safely
4. BEtter utilisation of the random empty spaces to join up the retail areas and draw people between them
5. Monthly inspections of derelict buildings for safety reasons
6. A pop up container mall near the Squircle

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Neither of these talk about the business community, booktown, the historic aspects or the gateway to the Wairarapa

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,
Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
If we must have guiding principles they should be specific and tangible, not politically correct and meaningless feel-good statements. None of these talk about the economic sustainability of our town. If we have no businesses our rating base will be impacted and the town will wither and die. 1, 3 and 4 are divisive and will further intrench divisions in our community. We should be looking to improve Featherston for all ratepayers, residents and visitors NOT a small proportion of people.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,
Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
I think most of it is poorly thought out and based on latest urban design trends not evidence from talking to the residents and visitors to our town. You are gambling our town's future and need a lot more evidence before proceeding with something as potentially economically damaging as this. I am looking for the traffic movement surveys to show the volumes of vehicles currently using these roads, and a projected volumes afterwards. For example reducing the traffic around the Fox St rail crossing will force more traffic to turn right onto SH 2 and potentially create a much more dangerous situation. Where are the interviews with visitors to see what convinced them to stop and where they shopped? You cannot remove a large number of parks of SH 2 and expect business traffic not to be impacted.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,
Do you have any comments about the connections?:
Its not a priority when our main street floods and people have sewage overflowing into their gardens. Get the books in order before embarking on projects like this. Also the Western Lake cycleway is barely used by cyclists. But it is good for dogs to walk and crap on and for fly tipping.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No,

If yes, which other routes?:

Balance the books first before any more nice-to-have projects

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Yes probably

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Not sure if this will restrict future exciting and innovative options for that land. Why make it mixed use? There seems to be no compelling rationale for this change.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Yes lots.

A. You cant concentrate the town centre while locking in a green dead zone in the middle which splits the town in two.

B. These existing open spaces are in the wrong place and prevent the town being a cohesive unit. They should be moved to other parts of the town off SH 2 and used for mixed use and commercial developments.

C.what is a welcome feature and how much does it cost. Where is the evidence thus adds value. Masterton's welcome features have been an expensive disaster

D. Street features are a euphemism for removing car parking and making a cycle lane. This will be detrimental to both locals and visitors alike. It is probably the most damaging aspect of the proposed plan for our economic future.

I. Very few people arrive by train and walk to the town centre. You are kidding yourselves if improving the walk will change this. It is, and will remain, largely a commuter station and this is a waste of money.

N, O and P we must keep all crossings open to avoid unintended consequences such as pushing traffic off side roads and onto SH 2 or no reason. There is no safety data to justify closing these crossings so don't let KiwiRail's cost cutting negatively impact our traffic flows.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

I strongly object to the entire main street revitalisation and proposed on the grounds above.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,
Do you have any comments?:
As above

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Yes, there is no evidence for this and significant safety risks in diverting traffic via Sh 2 and other residential streets

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

It is just an absurd waste of money for no return to ratepayers.

Why can't cyclists use the existing pedestrian crossing?

Why would we want to visually narrow the road 14.2.c but need to widen the road in 13.1.i?

We don't need a footpath outside the petrol station, we need parking - that is the exact place everyone wants to stop and run across the road to the shops as there is almost no parking there for quite some distance.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Can't have when the books are balanced, certainly not to be paid while ratepayers are facing 20% rate rises year on year. A complete disgrace to even suggest this right now.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Already addresses, a complete traffic and safety nightmare for no good reason, it must not happen.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

More bonkers shared spaced, traffic narrowing and speed bumps. Where is the evidence of people speeding through through the town centre?

F. Yes this is a good idea but ALSO open up the carpark with an access next to the RSa, which combined with good signage would give people a place to park that is totally under-utilised now.

G. Yes but in conjunction with the accessway above.

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

The whole thing needs to go back to the drawing board so me spending hours commenting in detail on this plan is not a good use of time.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#39

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:07:23 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 12:52:39 PM
Time Spent: 01:45:16
IP Address: 101.53.212.246

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Kirstene Saba
Organisation (if applicable)	not applicable
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Wheelchair access parking

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
a community with a diverse community which is to be maintained not upgraded to a Wellington suburb, Martinborough or Greytown vision. The people who thought of Booktown has introduced us to possibilities for the Featherston community

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
load of gobbly gook, if you mean do we want Maori input then the answer is yes.

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

1. reducing car parks on SH2 will result in a downtown for local businesses as there will be no where for traffic to stop to look around. The supermarket car parks will be full of traveller's cars that have not gone into the supermarket, leaving no parks for locals or others to buy food. There is a lack of parking in Featherston already. Why is the parking in Featherston to be reduced when Greytown is a traffic hazard and dangerous for travellers and pedestrians alike. Martinborough has parks everywhere, with people double parking in the centre. 2. There are only x3 wheelchair access parks in Featherston. Located only at the supermarket. No others in Featherston. Are we catering for the elderly or disabled by reducing car parking? Are we not to stop at Everest for a coffee anymore because it's too far to my parent to walk with a walking frame? The theory that people love to walk maybe correct but not everyone can walk and have you thought of locals and where they are to park? We are the ones that also contribute towards Featherston businesses. 3. Trees on SH 2 and narrowing SH2. Traffic travelling thru Featherston consists of these as well as commuters on fishing or camping expeditions, motorbikes, cyclists and pedestrians. We are the gateway to the Wairarapa. Are travellers to drive straight thru Featherston and straight to Greytown for a feed as there's no where to park? The mixture makes Featherston a unique community within the South Wairarapa. This has not been taken into account when looking at narrowing roads and replacing car parks with Trees. Are truckies to park at the supermarket to pick up a pie from Pioneer? What if truckies want a rest after a long drive? 4. There is room for sculptures we should not be narrowing any roads to put them on. 5. Whoever thought of making Featherston a town for cyclists and pedestrians has not looked at the community with their eyes wide open. Featherston community encompasses families, elderly, working class, Tradespeople, Farmers, Truck Drivers, Artists, Cyclists, Booktown and Wellington commuters. We are a unique town full of eccentricities. The proposal is catering for Tourists without looking at who Featherston is. 6. I feel that putting trees in the centre of the SH2 is not addressing the problem we have in Featherston. Vacant buildings belonging to a number of owners, Vacant sections, lack of buildings for businesses, a squircle that can't be used without a permit is the problem that we have. Fix it instead of masking it to forget. 7. Removing driveway at supermarket. This supermarket is busy, and on weekends car parking is full. Traffic coming off hill makes it a nightmare to drive out. Me

traffic coming on main makes it a nightmare to drive out. we need another driveway for that supermarket not a driveway removed. The suggestion to put trees in those spaces is going to block our view! A health and safety risk..

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
We need more footpaths but not at the expense of losing car parks in the main town centre. The roads within town are wide enough to encompass all traffic and that is what we should be maximising on. We are not a wellington suburb we are town within the South Wairarapa. That's who I pay my rates too.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:
Road marking on SH2 for traffic turning into Revans street needs to be relooked at. There is no road marking for traffic turning onto Wakefield Street . The only alternatives we have is to block traffic going to Wellington, or to park illegally on the crossing to give way to that traffic. The current road marking favours those turning to go to Martinborough. With the increasing traffic coming off SH2 this needs to be looked at for those of us who live on that side of town.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No,

Do you have any comments?:
Discussions need to be held with business owners and resident of this area.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

No

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Maximise on what Featherston has. No trees on SH2, no narrowing of already wide roads that fit cars, cyclists and pedestrians alike. Put footpaths on both sides of the road then theres heaps of room to walk. And no to closing Fox Street as this road is used by locals to avoid traffic back up on SH2 to turn onto wakefield st

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:
subject to study first

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

no

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

1. One way down Daniel Street - so Fox street railway is closed, Daniel st is one way, Other end of Fox street is narrowed, birdwood street narrowed. What is the Fire Service to do in an emergency for example on Underhill Road side of Featherston. Or is Daniel Street one way so they can get to Rimutaka hill quicker? Not thinking of locals
2. We need more crossings however putting a crossing outside the Royal is not taking into account traffic turning into Wakefield street or Revans Street. At times there can be x3-4 cars waiting. Is clogging the road with traffic moving forward?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

As a Featherston resient I do not support this proposal.

It Leaves only two alternatives to get home from Masterton to the Underhill Road side of Featherston. Right onto hickson street which is not built for more traffic, or onto Wakefield street which is currently a hazard to turn into due to increasing traffic.

I also don't support closing as we need the Fire Service to have easy access to this side of town without having to dodge travellers to and from wellington. We need them at an emergency within a quick timeframe.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

i do not support the location of the pedestrian crossing as it not safe for pedestrians due to traffic lock up when turing onto wakefield street. This crossing should be towards the pharmacy to cater for the disabled, children catching as bus to school as well as taking the Saturday market into account

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

You have not considered residents who drive home thru Fox Street and Johnston street, or those who drive up Fox street to get onto Underhill Road to swim at the swimming hole. And what about residents who are driving to the Sports Hub to attend any events? Not everyone uses Bell Street to go to the Railway Station

I feel the streets can still be enhanced for pedestrians and cyclist without affecting Residents commuting space as well as considering safety for all sized traffic. Featherston community is vast and varied. we are not just cyclists and pedestrians. I can walk in Featherston safely already.

I support sculptures and murals if it does not affect what we already have in this ton

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

As already stated, we drive HOME thru Fox Street. We are not commuters. No thought of locals, only thinking of tourists and visitors (regular or not)

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

We have a large number of truck drivers driving thru Featherston in either direction. They also purchase goods at local businesses. Truck drivers or any drivers need to use the toilet facilities too. Featherston is the first town in the South Wairarapa. Reducing the width does not allow for trucks to drive onto Fox Street safely. Next lot of toilet facilities for truckies is in Carterton as it would be impossible to stop in Greytown. Those toilets are well used we need the road to stay open for frequent travellers and workers. Where are they to park with parellel crossing?

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

i don't support the shared spaces when there is enough space to share already. I do not support creating spaces just for cyclists and pedestrians. We are the last stop before heading to Wellington and the first leaving Wellington. We should be catering for everyone not just a chosen few.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

i support trees and sculptures in this area. I do not support the closing of Fox street to cater for cyclists. Roads are wide enough to cater for all traffic and should remain that way

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

this plan needs to be relooked at as it only caters for pedestrians and cyclists with no thought to local residents who contribute towards the upkeep of South Wairarapa

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

I would prefer money spent on infrastructure ie water and sewerage. do not support planting of trees and removing parks on SH2. The pedestrian crossing by wakefield street needs to be moved towards the pharmacy as too close for turning traffic onto wakefield and revans streets. Closing fox street means more traffic turing onto wakefield st side of town, or increasing traffic on Hickson Street. There is nothing wrong with how it is now. If this is just to cater for cyclists then maybe have them cycling down Hickson street instead. Residents should be the priority. Keeping businesses open is the priority and should remain so. Reducing car parking is not a good outlook for businesses to remain open as their reliance is on tourism as locals.

Focus on what is really needed and concentrate on vacant buildings to create new business is Featherston. I think Featherston is pretty already and will look beautiful as a thriving town with lots of business. A few trees will not create this image.

#40

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 1:18:31 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 1:36:15 PM
Time Spent: 00:17:43
IP Address: 27.252.69.81

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Margaret Fletcher
Organisation (if applicable)	Not Applicable
Postal address	[Redacted]
Address 2	[Redacted]
Email Address	[Redacted]
Phone Number	[Redacted]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5

Yes

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6

No,

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

Do not need bicycle lanes everywhere. Do not need trees on main street does not need to be narrower

Q7

No,

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Our rates are too high as is this is just stupid for the amount of people cycling out there

Q8

No

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9

Yes,

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Do you have any comments?:

Need more housing especially for the elderly and young families

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

No trees on main street we need the parking

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

No,

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Do you have any comments?:

Have already made a mess of the one by the chemist

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Stupid idea

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Not a good idea

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

No

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Just please take into consideration any updating that is not necessary i pay too much on rates as it is and drainage should come first

#41

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 1:38:05 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 2:35:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:56:56
IP Address: 124.197.14.207

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Joshua Duley
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Intent to balance (13.1a) direct vehicular access to sites along main street seems to be given little more than lip service with the removal of available parking with no focussed alternative given. If the expectation is for an increase in through traffic (and local population) then a decrease in opportunity for people to stop in our town centre seems counter-intuitive. I do not want to see Featherston simply become impediment for travellers on their way to Greytown or Martinborough; but continue to be a destination in itself.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Neither vision statement hold any significant semantic weight.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,
Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
insufficient tangible meaning to explicitly support.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,
Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
Little discussion on non-transport plans. Little discussion on addressing vacant and degrading properties in the town center.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,
Do you have any comments about the connections?:
The map presented in the plan is not up to date with the current cycling infrastructure as it is. There are established routes between Featherston and cross-creek, and Featherston and Greytown that are omitted. This makes it difficult to comment. As a regular cyclist myself - I can say with certainty that very few people will be riding 65km around the lake outside of those regular riders who are already highly competent cyclists familiar with riding on open roads. Developing a trail adjacent to the road will not make a 65km loop with no intervening amenities (shops) more appealing or accessible. If you want to develop shorter local cycling routes - talk to some local cyclists. Happy to get in touch. There are tourists that use the connection from cross-creek towards Featherston coming off the rail trail. This section could (and likely should) be extended to reach all the way to the village.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:

The river is a local destination that is close enough to the village that a dedicated route would make more accessible for walkers and cyclist as well as support the route to Greytown.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Moving the town center beyond the Martinborough turn off will see a down turn in through traffic and a disservice to local business'.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

Given that certain medium density developments are being approved in the town centre, establishing a heritage precinct will not counterbalance this effect. The council should ensure a holistic approach to development in the town and not a discordant process that unduly restricts individual owners while benefiting property developers elsewhere who are unlikely to hold any regard for build quality or aesthetic.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Anzac hall, library. Broeren's properties.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

The derelict and disused properties in the town centre need addressing.

Q15

Yes

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Narrowing of the road seems an unnecessary expense certainly if the only goal is to slow car traffic. Narrower roads are then more dangerous for cyclists that you are seemingly trying to encourage. Even if the average speed is brought down - it will have little impact on anti-social drivers who then present an even greater risk on smaller roadways.

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

\$400,000 is an obscene amount of money to spend for the supposed impact for each of the interventions (street enhancements/crossings). I cannot support such wanton spending. Deal with the water, Deal with council Debt, Deal with Broeren.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#42

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 3:16:29 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 3:32:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:39
IP Address: 161.29.69.7

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Aaron sanson
Organisation (if applicable)	No
Postal address	[Redacted]
Address 2	[Redacted]
Email Address	[Redacted]
Phone Number	[Redacted]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:

Firstly, the rates are disproportional to the average income, which I'm sure is causing hardship to families, 2nd, the recent concrete slabs on the train crossing in Featherston have taken atleast 1 meter off the access to actually get vehicles across the track an exit the other side, is a Hazzard itself, then the access to the busy chemist carpark is not accessible in a South direction, so what you have done is reduce a wide 2 land road an block a driveway on a main road, this is really not a practical solution, I hope it is re though before something happens , probably designed by impractical thinking

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17 Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18 Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19 Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20 Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21 Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22 Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23 Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24 Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#43

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 3:55:20 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:03:40 PM
Time Spent: 00:08:19
IP Address: 49.226.225.13

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Ritchie Wards
Organisation (if applicable)	Home owner
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Yes, working with the commercial landlords so they upgrade their buildings

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5

Yes

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6

Yes

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7

No

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8

No

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9

No,
Do you have any comments?:
We need more businesses in town

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Stop the concert for the kai anga ora house on the main street. Move it to a side street.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:
Landlords should be fined if they dont rent out their buildinfs

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

No

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Lighting at sportshub

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:
Put arms in and keep all crossings as is

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Keep it open. There is the same risk to people walking and on cycles as cars. Put arms in

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

No

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

No

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Keep it as is

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

No

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

No

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

No

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Please actually do it

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Respondent skipped this question

#44

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 3:42:02 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:15:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:32:58
IP Address: 222.154.91.190

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Ella Trafford
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Taking more action for force absentee building owners to do up dilapidated buildings on the main street and more work to fix broken pipes.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I don't feel that there's enough information available in the master plan to comment on the Vision.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I like these guiding principles and agree with all of them.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
I support the work to make it easier to cycle and walk around Featherston. It's important for our health and better for the environment to be able to safely actively travel. At the moment, it's difficult for some our tamariki to safely walk or cycle to school. I support more tree planting in Featherston too, which will help cool our town as summers become hotter with climate change. Trees will also improve the appearance of our town.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
I think this is very important as it will promote physical activity, the town's connection to the Wairarapa Moana, and reduce car usage.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:
Yes, we should have a pedestrian and cycle route to Martinborough too. It will be good for promoting physical activity and reducing car usage.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Yes, we need more housing in our town and this existing industrial land is under utilised at present.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Yes, it will help more housing more affordable.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I support the key features that promote walking and cycling, allow easier crossing of the roads, and encourage more plantings/maintain plantings.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

I think it's a good idea to protect historical buildings but this also needs to be done in a way that allows for increased housing density.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

More trees should be planted along these linkages.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

I think we have too many level crossings in our town and it would improve safety by reducing the number of them.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Yes, I support this change as it would make it safer for pedestrians and cyclists.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

I support these suggested upgrades to make it safer to cross the road as a pedestrian or cyclist.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

I support new tree plantings and a shared path for cyclists and pedestrians.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

That's fine with me as I prefer to cycle or walk around Featherston. Hopefully, it will make it safer to cross Fox Street near the public toilets as it is currently very dangerous with a wide road, fast cars, and parked cars (impairing visibility).

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

I support upgrading pedestrian crossings but don't like shared spaces where cars, pedestrians, and cyclists share the road. I agree with narrowing Fox Street to make it safer to cross the road.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Yes to the raised pedestrian crossing and no to cars sharing a space with pedestrians and cyclists.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

I strongly support more tree plantings and improved pedestrian and cyclist linkages.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

I agree with all of the suggestions in the implementation plan except for the shared space. More electric charging spaces is an excellent idea. I see how busy the EV chargers are at the supermarket. Reducing the speed limit is a good idea and so is resolving flooding issues.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Respondent skipped this question

#45

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 3:34:20 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:18:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:44:16
IP Address: 222.154.91.190

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Peter Trafford
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Key challenge for intensification is that more on-street car-parking due to insufficient room on small section will reduce sightlines. Some intersections e.g. corner Fox St and Birdwood St already have poor sightlines when cars are parked near to the corner. Will just need roadmarking updated to push the give way lines further out into the intersection.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I like both vision statements.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,
Do you have any comments about the connections?:
Its easy to walk or bike to Wairarapa Moana already, although its a long walk. I bike to the Reserve with my wife. Drivers are generally considerate.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,
If yes, which other routes?:
Underhill Rd cycle friendliness to improve the experience going to Greytown.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,
Do you have any comments?:
This encompass the run down buildings and hopefully get John the pig farmer to sell them for development.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Looks good

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:
Heritage precincts also have heritage trees within the landscape. Consider protecting more of these and allowing street trees to be planted within the heritage precinct.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Agree Hayward St.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

No

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:
No

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Good idea

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Love them.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

They're great.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Yes, better.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

I like them. It might encourage heavy through-traffic down SH53 and connecting back onto SH2 after the town instead. Has any discussion been had about a bypass of this sort with Waka Kotahi/NZTA?

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

No, all good.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Add outdoor powerpoints and this area would be a good marketplace

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

No, thank you.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

No, thank you.

#46

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 2:10:58 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:38:41 PM
Time Spent: 02:27:42
IP Address: 49.227.131.17

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Sue Fox
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

- Yes:
- Featherston town centre has a number of badly maintained buildings. A strategy is needed to deal with these.
 - commuters walk from every quarter to the rail station. Elderly now benefit from mobility scooters. High density accom. needs to extend to current town boundaries.
 - Move squircle and skatepark off the main street, to allow for a concentrated retail centre.
 - Develop policy and zone for the building of mosques, temples etc

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring,

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:

.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:

I would like to see something like 1. Common sense - would the reasonable person proceed with a project, if spending their own money? 2. Thrifty - are we getting best value for money? 3. Impartial - benefitting all members of the community 4. Charitable - looking out for the vulnerable 5. Environment is important, but faced with a conflict, secondary to the needs of people.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

Again, I am surprised that we only have the choice of Yes or No. I was always taught that a further option should be available when designing consultation documents.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Town centre infrastructure is far more important, bearing in mind how far below acceptable standards this has fallen. However, it would be great towards the end of the 30 year period to achieve this connection. Of course, had any of the previous 4 Featherston Masterplans ever been acted on, these connections would already be in place!

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No,

If yes, which other routes?:

Believe it or not, Featherston is very easy to get around at the moment. Reading these documents, it seems to be SWDC, NZTA and Kiwirail that are trying to create challenges to this at the ratepayers expense.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

The more residential opportunities the better. I suggest the introduction of minimum densities to avoid the waste of land and to encourage building smaller, more manageable homes for smaller households. If a sufficient area could be accumulated, approaches could be made to retirement home providers to look at Featherston, incentivised by prioritised planning and lower consent fees.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

The more residential opportunities the better. I suggest the introduction of minimum densities to avoid the waste of land and to encourage building smaller, more manageable homes for smaller households. If a sufficient area could be accumulated, approaches could be made to retirement home providers to look at Featherston, incentivised by prioritised planning and lower consent fees.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

11(a) I agree to concentrate Town Centre. Get rid of parks from town centre. Move recreation/leisure to Clifford Square

11(b) links are already good/ waste of money.

11(c) Welcome features?? Really? You need my guiding principles..

11.(d) There are hours and hours in the day when there is little or no traffic through Featherston centre. Existing crossings are fine

11 (e) Waste of money - provide for shops to be built and create a policy to attract new investors. SWDC should put money in to build a state of the art tourist info centre in partnership with Destination Wairarapa. Grant funding from Lotteries etc

11(f) NO We have a great multi use building at the community centre which struggles to make ends meet. Put money in to improving and supporting that instead.

11(g) Creating an access from the main road to the medical centre and community centre would actually be money well spent as this is lacking at the moment.

11(h)Train station - it would assist commuters and spread the load of early morning traffic for residents, if provision could be made in the Masterplan for a second platform to the East (off Birdwood Street). An underpass or overbridge would actively INCREASE accessibility for walkers and cyclists who currently have a long walk around.

11(i) Not a priority. Waste of money

11 (j) many greater priorities

11(k) many greater priorities, there is hardly ant traffic

11(l) the town centre does not sound like an ideal place for youths to congregate. What about giving money to the Friday Club to extend its activities? Grants could be obtained from lotteries etc to fund this, eg at the community centre

11(m) Fstn Beautification Group will probably help, but this should be happening anyway

11(n, o, p) funny this almost spells NOPE. Leave things as they are if at all possible. We have surprisngly few incidents in the town centre. If there is an interest in accessibility, why start closing roads??

11(q) Wakefield street is very wide and has good footpaths. This has to be low priority.

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

The historic areas marked are directly within your "intensification area" and take away from some fantastic opportunities to build smaller homes on redundant tennis courts and over-large, outdated gardens in this prime area. There are a few scattered historic houses in Featherston, a couple in Johnston Street, but nothing really remarkable. These buildings could be identified and included on a revamped "Town Trail", with individual updated signage. Historic homes should be a benefit to the town, not stop it progressing.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

No

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Provision should be made to allow for a second platform and carpark off Birdwood Street. This would share the burden of commuter traffic and improve access for pedestrians.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Leave this out of the Masterplan and deal with any proposals from Kiwirail as they arise.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

This is a street often used by fire engines, ambulances etc. I can see no justification for this without seeing a detailed and reasoned report.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

This area works well as it is. Residents and visitors alike find it convenient to be able to park for a brief period there. If you put up a time lapse camera, you will see that for really long periods during the day, there is very little traffic. Any welcoming improvements should be at the initial 50mph sign.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

I feel these things are "nice to have" but the current links are fine. This work must be lowest priority.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

This is another example of the Masterplan not improving linkages but in reality breaking linkages. I totally disagree with reducing routes to the railway station. I suggest IMPROVING the situation by allowing in the Masterplan for the building of a second platform/ carpark on Birdwood Street so that there would be a sharing of the burden of commuter traffic for residents and an improvement for commuters themselves. It would also improve access to the station for pedestrians and cyclists.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

I just don't believe that this extra parking/ slowing etc is needed. I suggest using a time-lapse camera to see a week of how the parking currently works and whether pedestrians ever have problems crossing the road. The new 40kph limit has been a good improvement. I would not change the town centre, except to provide opportunities for more retail buildings and a TIC. If anything, make a bypass for through traffic.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

I would liaise with the fire brigade on this one. Personally, this pedestrian area is out of the town centre focus where it might have been a benefit. Where this proposed pedestrian zone is, would likely detract from customers using the retail stores as they can currently park right outside. This is a well used through route to SH53 and used by emergency services.

Why not get a road closure order during BookTown week??

What proposal does the Masterplan have for dealing with the ruinous building across the road from the pedestrian zone???

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Nice to have but not a priority.

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Will there be a financial report showing how each part of the work is going to be funded? I would like to see SWDC employ a fundraiser to advise on obtaining as much funding from grant providers as possible to relieve the burden on ratepayers. All the 'improved' parking, paths, cycleways, plantings and 'welcome signs' should be de-prioritised, with the exception of the short link to the medical centre and community centre from SH2. This is because there is no link at present. The parts of the plan of most value/ priority are - Water projects (do seem to have been given priority) - Creating space and incentives for new investment in retail in a compact town centre. - Creating residential land and incentives for smaller housing units. - creating better access for commuters with a new platform and carpark off Birdwood Street I would like to see these as the headline priorities, with the rest of the 'nice to have' tinkering taken out of the equation until those important items have been achieved. Otherwise, there is a risk that money will be frittered away and the main focus lost.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

No

#47

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:23:57 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 5:38:54 PM
Time Spent: 01:14:56
IP Address: 155.190.54.4

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Linda Fairbrother
Organisation (if applicable)	Wellington Water
Postal address	██████████
Address 2	██████████
Email Address	██
Phone Number	██████████

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Yes. For our long term vision we need the township to be connected. Connections to key community assets like Barr Brown Reserve, Card Reserve, the Swimming Pools, Sports stadium, Schools, Donalds Creek shelter etc

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
We need to include visitors - they are crucial for our businesses to thrive

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I generally support these, but we need to also focus on supporting business in town to generate income - lets improve our appeal to visitors, even if its just to stop for an ice-cream or a bathroom break on their way somewhere else. We also need to consider our supporting infrastructure.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
I do support elements of the strategy. I think we need to focus on improving what we have and providing better access and connection to it. We also need to consider connection to future areas of development and the existing rail trail/

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
Lets get our roads sorted as well - its like a roller coaster driving out there - and terrifying when there's a truck coming the other way. There is no way we would risk cycling to the lake at the moment.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:
Connection to the Five Towns Trail, including the sports stadium, Card Reserve and the Swimming Pool.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No,

Do you have any comments?:
The plan suggests mixed use - that would be more flexible

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:
We need to encourage development in this space

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I do not support broad brush heritage zoning - the locations suggested also contradict the planned housing intensification. More consideration needs to be given to vehicle access to train station with the closure of Fox St crossing and conversion of Daniel St to one way - commuters from Featherston and beyond need easy access with safe pedestrian provisions as well. More consideration for parking needs to be made. We need to capture people as they are driving through town - if there is no where to park they will keep driving and our business's will miss out on revenue. Daniel Street (next to supermarket) already has a wide greenspace that could provide for improved pedestrian and cycle connection to railway station. Would like to see provision for additional parking at ANZAC Hall. Fox St, need to consider bus stops and easy access for travellers to stop at bathrooms - closure of Daniel St and Birdwood makes this much more difficult - do we need to have more in a more accessible area for travelers? I would love to see a more visually improved main street but not at the expense of carparks - can we look at planters or similar on our already wide footpaths?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:
I support identifying key houses / properties but see reduced value in a broad brush approach. Suggest refining definition of what heritage we are seeking to protect

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Do not support exercise equipment along the railway tracks - move it to under the Oak Trees at Card Reserve, or next to sports hub. If there is safe walkways to Swimming pool, please include pedestrian crossing - this is helpful for families and schools walking to the pools
Improved footpath along Harrison St West and push the cycle trail up there to connect with Five Towns Trail

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:
Appreciate that this is governed by KiwiRail, they need to engage with our community!

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Will it actually stay open to pedestrians? how/why is this safer than vehicles?

This crossing should remain open

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Daniel St does not need to be one way to facilitate improved pedestrian and cycle connection - the existing greenspace is 7+m wide 100% support ideas to visually narrow road and slow traffic, need to protect car parks or make other provisions for them so that travelers stop on their way through,

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Do not support reducing Daniel St to one way

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

The Lyon ST / Hickson ST intersection with SH2 is not a great place to cross SH2 - dont love this idea but understand compromises have to be made

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

If FOx St is intended to become a main car parking area then consideration of multiple connection / access points to shops needs to be considered. We need to make it easy for people to stop and spend money here

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

This sounds like a really attractive idea - I think it works best here compared to the other locations the same idea is proposed.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

I think pedestrians and cyclists should be separated, increase visual amenity of path between Bell ST and station for pedestrians (including lighting) and divert cyclists to Johnson St. This would mean less of a focus of wide shared path along Johnson St. - You need to consider the rush of traffic from trains here, I think its best to keep people away from that as far as possible.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

This plan would be easier to understand if activities were grouped by timing Lets balance focus on main street and closing roads with safe connections to community facilities - sports hub, pool and stadium. Do not support more exercise equipment on Johnson Street - This is NEVER USED, it should be at Card Reserve.` We need to ensure beautification does not contradict the ability to stop and visit - it would be a shame to have a beautiful main street that no-one stops in because there are not enough carparks.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Thank you for the different engagement opportunities - especially liked the walk and talk.

I think the focus has been on the main street and train station and there have been some missed opportunities to consider what growth looks like and where it is and how we plan to include these new areas.

we also need to improve connection to the assets we already have.

Imagine if we could be a safe walking town, where there is safe pedestrian and cycle assess for our community to get where they need to go without fear of being hit by a speeding car or truck!

#48

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 6:20:40 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 7:01:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:41:08
IP Address: 222.152.248.183

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Scilla Askew and Robert Carew
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

1. Fixing the key infrastructure should be a high priority - water, roads etc
2. Be much braver about the changes. The centre of the town should move away from State Highway 2 to the area bounded by Fox Street and Clifford Square. It's still accessible from SH2, but makes an enjoyable experience for shoppers. Open up the area along Fox St where the Menz Shed, Fire station etc are to retail - these facilities would need to move. Have wider uses for Clifford Square than just the miniature railway (e.g. a covered market). Consider pedestrianising the whole area.
3. Move SWDC buildings to Featherston to increase Civil Defence resilience.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Does it matter?

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,
Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
These aren't very clearly written.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No,
Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
Overall, it's not brave enough.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,
Do you have any comments about the connections?:
If you prioritise this, what will you not do? There's not enough information about that. It sounds like a great thing to have but it's a 'nice to have' against all the other things that need fixing.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,
If yes, which other routes?:
Are you talking about cycles or other modes of transport? Improved train services are something that will benefit everyone. The Teherenikau cycle way has the opportunity to make Featherston a tourist destination. Underhill Rd needs improving if cyclists are to use it.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Do you have any comments?:
Don't know.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

But it would be better to move the focus away from SH2 - the tension between locals going about their lives and the traffic moving along SH2 is only going to get worse. Maybe you should be planning a heavy traffic bypass.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Featherston is not a big town. This means most people can walk everywhere. There's less need to ensure special cycle ways and it's important to ensure walking remains easy and safe by not making pedestrians share with cycles. There are loads of streets in town that have no footpath currently (e.g. by the Dog park and sports fields).

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

There are other areas - e.g. the war memorial - that count as heritage. It will matter what restrictions are placed on residents if the heritage precinct is implemented.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

The intersection of Fox St and Birdwood St is dangerous and would work better as a pedestrian precinct with development of retail and other facilities in the area. This would take the focus away from SH2. Featherston has the distinct advantage over other Wairarapa towns on SH2 that it hasn't developed as a long, narrow town.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

It would work well to close off Johnston/Fox Street crossing if we had a pedestrianised area from Bell St to Daniell St.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Having it open to pedestrians would make it easier to get around town - especially offering access to the medical and community centres, the sports fields and the railway station.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

These seem like temporary changes. The whole intersection needs to be rethought. Raised pedestrian crossings seem very expensive and don't seem to achieve much.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Avoid shared paths. Cyclists always dominate the pedestrians.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Seems fine.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

It's not nearly brave enough. See our other comments about this area.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Much better to focus this on the area bounded by Birdwood, Fox and Daniell Streets, rather than the other side of SH2. It would be much better to have events closer to the ANZAC Hall. This would offer a wider range of indoor/outdoor events, especially if Clifford Square was developed to allow more events.

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

It sounds like you're not intending to change any of the plans you've put forward if you've already got the plans in place.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Please be braver with the changes.

#49

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 4:33:03 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 7:19:40 PM
Time Spent: 02:46:36
IP Address: 27.252.83.105

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Mishana Petrovic
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Yes (in person)

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Challenge - Knowing, understanding and planning around changes Kiwirail are proposing/intending to make. For example the new traffic islands on Fitzherbert/SH2 affecting local business, or the proposed closure of rail crossings such as Brandon Street and Fox Street and the effect this will have on the flow of traffic through town and the pressure it will place on other intersections when considered in conjunction with this masterplan (an inordinate amount of pressure that will land on the SH2/Wakefield Street/Bethune Street intersection).

Challenge and opportunity - Not currently having a suitable development contributions policy in place. Having one in place that will ensure that once you provide the framework for higher density housing within the town limits, that the financial pressure of the increased housing and all future development will pay its fair share of the growth, and not spread that cost across the existing community instead. The only people winning by not having a suitable development contributions policy in place are the developers, not the council or the community. SWDC is miles behind in this area and it has been costing the local community and will continue to do so until you have this simple policy in place.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I would like to see our natural environment have more of a place in these principles. Principle 6 feels a bit airy fairy.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
I highly support increased cycle connections, however not at the expense of current road widths. I do not support the one way section of Daniel Street, there is already sufficient space available to make a successful greenway/shared space connection to the train station.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:

I believe that the stretch connecting the Rimutaka trail and the greytown trail needs improvement to be safe (along underhill road) as this is a thin, gravel high speed zone.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

mixed use provides more flexibility and would be my preferred rezoning.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I do not support a vision for a town centre with reduced car use. Featherston town centre is literally SH2, the businesses rely on the North and South passing through traffic to maintain the viability of their businesses. Reducing carparking is naive and would have a negative effect on these businesses.

A pedestrian crossing is also required on the Western end of Fitzherbert Street/SH2.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

it would be good to see the greenway/shared path to the train station extend to the pool and sports grounds as well so tamariki can safely ride their bikes etc to all the sporting facilities.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

I am concerned that if Kiwirail closes n,o&p that the flow of traffic through town will be funneled into intersections that are not designed for the increased demand.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I don't believe this is necessary. This pushes north/south and east/west cross town traffic to all be required to enter the main roads, Fitzherbert/SH2 and Revans streets in order to access the local educational, and community facilities and via Hickson and Wakefield intersections which are not designed for this increased level of traffic. These changes would require a redesign of the main trunk of the town to ensure the safety of the pedestrians and the traffic.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

I highly support a crossing at the Western end on Fitzherbert/SH2.

Removing the parking will remove the majority of the patrons for these businesses, having on street seating areas are useless without customers to fill them with. You will see a significant reduction in people stopping in Featherston when passing through which will negatively effect our local businesses and economy. As much as it would be amazing to see such a significant societal change that will not be happening anytime in the near future in rural NZ that is not a "destination" in its own right. Like it or not Featherston is not a "destination" town, it is a "through town" and the town planning needs to acknowledge that or it will be damaging. I cannot express enough how naive i feel this suggestion is.

Cycles can cross using either existing road or pedestrian crossings, they don't require specific crossing infrastructure.

It would be great to see some new planting to beautify the town centre. I would also love to see these planting areas to be multifunctional swales to address storm water issues.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

i hark back to this rail closure, one way Daniel St and shared zone birdwood putting significant pressure on other intersections that will need to be redesigned at the same time to handle increased traffic.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

do able, but as above!

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Cyclists do not require specific crossing infrastructure.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

i support this.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

extend path to include access to the sports hub, pools, tennis courts and gym.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Development Contributions Policy needs to be in place now.

#50

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:25:45 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 8:22:27 PM
Time Spent: 09:56:41
IP Address: 27.252.75.180

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Suzanne Vanessa Galbraith
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring,

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:

It conveys vision 1 with less words. But perhaps replace resilient with collaborative or something similar. Community? Resilience implies we can all respond well to a major event and who can predict that? The elderly infirm, and young families living might struggle to cope if disaster was to hit. The flooding of 2018, highlighted our vulnerability. But it showed our strong sense of community and pulling together in a crisis, which I believe differs from resilience. Resilience is what we require from our infrastructure and services.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Better public access to Wairarapa Moana will raise awareness of its significance, and current degradation, encouraging us all to share kaitiakitanga responsibilities for this taonga.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Do you have any comments?:

I can't answer yes or no to this as I'm unclear what the future implications will be. Based on population projections, it seems prudent to provide more residential zoned land. But with climate change mitigation likely to increase the cost of importing and exporting materials, and restricting travel to and from work places, it might be wise to retain sufficient land to support any local industry required in future.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Do you have any comments?:

Again, I'm not going to commit to a yes or no response. It seems wise to provide more residential zoned land to cater for the projected rise in population. But please do this in sympathy with the character of Featherston. Our main street should showcase our community.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I generally support the proposed changes to the town centre, but don't remove parking for truck trailer units and cars with caravans on SH 2. Featherston is an important stopping point for vehicles coming off the hill, ie for toilet, snacks and car sickness recovery.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Please find some way of addressing the derelict buildings on the main street. Until these are sorted, we won't have a revitalised main street. I like the extra pedestrian crossings and traffic slowing measures. But retain parking for truck trailer units and cars towing caravans.

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I have concerns about closing the Fox St, Street level crossing to traffic, given that Fox Street is the easiest access to SH2 heading north, at least it is for me living in Watt Street.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#51

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 6:01:47 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 8:31:08 PM
Time Spent: 02:29:20
IP Address: 47.72.245.151

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Iain Penhale
Organisation (if applicable)	BAKER
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I dont support either vision in their entirety, there is very limited information about what SWDC thinks these visions encapsulates

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
Why 6? if these are the proposed guiding principles, why are they not already the kopapa of SWDC and are these really the 6 crucial foundations? how about adding 3 more 1. shut up and listen to the people of your towns 2. consider you were voted in based on keeping our rates down and these projections are absolutely mind bogglingly unnecessarily expensive 'nice to haves' 3. consult a town planner because this isnt a [REDACTED] passion project, its the lives and livelihoods of hundreds of people

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

there are already commercial businesses operating here and more in the planning stages, ironically when KiwiRail destroyed the access to my business I began the process of setting up new sites, unfortunately, its in the Bethune/Wakefeild industrial area that you may now demolish, the other site Im looking at Im sure will pop up in a future question

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

I am only saying yes to this under the assumption existing landowners will have the final say in what their specific zoning allows under the 'mixed' umbrella

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

I am worried that a historic area will further impede developers from taking on projects with problem buildings which are currently eyesores that council seems to be doing nothing to rectify

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

there is apparently a right of way between 31 and 33 Fitzherbert St which links the main road (SH2) with the medical and community centres, this right of way is currently being used as a closed and locked driveway to the residents of 31 Fitzherbert St, this should be reinstated as an accessway

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

there are far less intrusive means in which to keep cars off tracks at the intervals that trains are passing, how many cars have been accidentally struck on these crossings in the past 40 years?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I dont agree to shutting down yet another access point, the reality is Featherston as a town would be better off without a rail system at all, I appreciate the importance of allowing commuters access to the city (I have been one) it creates a myriad of problems for the town and the people in it, if it were located outside of the town centre like Greytown and Carterton etc we would be a much more united town

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

I agree with the installation of pedestrian crossings but am against all the other "upgrades"

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

I like the idea of a continuous walkway along Daniell St etc and of it having some form of shelter, however, it needs to be direct, people traversing to a transport option dont want to navigate obstacles etc, what kind of shared space do you really see this being? are the current shared spaces in Featherston well used?

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

I dont think its a good option, its especially concerning for fire station linkages

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

This is the other site I was considering moving my business to, the main reason this was high up on my list is the ability for delivery vehicles to park on the street within a reasonable distance, as my current locations driveway is no longer accessible by delivery vehicles because of KiwiRail building a monstrosity across my access way, not only preventing all southbound traffic from even patronising my business, but trucks can no longer enter at all as the turning circle has been so badly reduced.

To the point, the angled parking you intend on installing on Fox St would make that site also very difficult to deliver to, this is one of the few places trucks can safely stop to not only make deliveries but also stop for rest breaks, a huge chunk of the money spent in our town is people travelling through and stopping for food and drinks

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

only of the same impacts of 14.4

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

the path along Johnston st would be a welcome addition, it might also be a good option to move the RV black water dumping spot away from the entrance to the sports fields

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

the priority should be keeping rates rises down and getting the core infrastructure up to standard, in the last 5 years my rates have gone from \$2600 to \$4700, thats incredibly unsettling considering we have all the same problems and theyve gotten worse in that timeframe, our Library is part time ■■■, dogs roam the streets, you build a fancy pound but theres often no animal control officer to even use it, do you really think things are moving in the right direction?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Respondent skipped this question

#52

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 8:03:53 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:27:51 PM
Time Spent: 01:23:58
IP Address: 163.47.238.5

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Joanna Baldwin
Organisation (if applicable)	-
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:

1. Empasis on Move forward

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

It lacks planned parking, people can't stop if there is no parking.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Absolutely! We need to embrace what our whenua offers us

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:

Underhill rd, the gravel is horrid

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Interested in how/if this would impact existing businesses and their costs.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

G. "Look" to improve. This has to happen. Featherston has been "looking" at this for a decade. Simple fix would save some much effort for our elderly, disabled and anyone with access needs.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

We have heritage buildings falling down. Will classing more buildings as heritage make them more expensive to fix and therefore create derelict buildings? I'm not just referring to Fitzherbert Street buildings, they are dotted through the town

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Buildings along Wakefield should be checked/investigated

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Move the dump station! Ewww right by the hub, dog park and train station.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

Bell street needs barrier arms and improvements to footpath

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

The "hump" at fox Street is dangerous. Close it.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Where do campervans and other long vehicles like tour buses stop. We need sign posted 'camper parking'

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Move dump station ewww

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

I think is good but these streets should have upgrades such as complete line markings a few traffic islands and footpath/run up improvements to ensure pedestrian safety.e

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

We need proper bike parking at the station if we are going to encourage people to use bikes more :)

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,
Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:
Project 2b. Is it going to cost over 100k to "investigate"?
Project 3a. Not a priority. Nice to have, likely to cause community fall out if done too early.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Well written. Great job

#53

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:25:38 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:40:26 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:47
IP Address: 121.99.225.183

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Tanja Schubert-McArthur
Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

No

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Vision 2 – Featherston- Resilient, Creative, Caring

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Q5

Yes

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6

Yes

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7

Yes

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8

No

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9

Yes

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

I think the train station should remain at the current location but with stronger connection to the shops. A covered walkway and planting would help

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Individual heritage properties to preserve should be identified

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Covered walkway from the station to the shops (along Johnston Street path)

Q15

No

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I think it should remain open for cars

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Unnecessary

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

No

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Public consultation sessions should be held in person and residents more encouraged to engage

#54

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:06:33 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:52:27 PM
Time Spent: 00:45:53
IP Address: 60.234.103.35

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Jason Layton
Organisation (if applicable)	.
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

No

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Q5

No

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6

No,

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

Forget about the nice to haves and focus on the essential services. This town views in the current council to fix our failing infrastructure, not to ignore it like previous councils.

Q7

No

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8

No

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9

Yes

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10

Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Unneeded, unnecessary waste of ratepayers money.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

No

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Closing the Fox St Level crossing is absolutely ridiculous.

Fox St is a main thoroughfare, closing that will create an unnecessary increase of traffic/congestion on other streets.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Closing the Fox St Level crossing is absolutely ridiculous. Fox St is a main thoroughfare, closing that will create an unnecessary increase of traffic/congestion on other streets.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Removing carparks prevents visitors from stopping and spending time/money in this town, they'll carry on to the next one.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Scrap it!

Removing carparks and narrowing the streets is not improving this town.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Absolutely ridiculous. It's not just train user that'll need to drive this streets, it's half the town as they enter and exit the highway to head north. The traffic on those roads will increase tenfold if the Fox Street level crossing is closed.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Shared spaces are good as long as vehicle access isn't restricted.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Great idea.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Not essential.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

No,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Focus on and prioritise the essential services first before they fall into greater disrepair.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Respondent skipped this question

#55

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 8:31:33 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:04:28 PM
Time Spent: 01:32:54
IP Address: 161.29.5.144

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Hana Makin
Organisation (if applicable)	-
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Unsure if this is within scope - but along with 'Accommodate additional housing', there also needs to be the availability of doctors, schools, childcare and elder care facilities. The latter is currently lacking in Featherston and forcing our elderly population to leave their home town in order to receive care.

Many young families are unable to secure childcare places in Pae Tū Mōkai and have to travel daily out of town with their littlies.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

,
Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I think thriving is the key word here.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,
Do you have any comments about the connections?:
I think connection to the moana is really important, however when you get to the moana currently, there isn't actually a huge amount of access around the lake for recreational walking/cycling. I think both the link to the moana and the connections around the moana are key. There is a Feasibility Study funded by Fab Feathy, done by ROAM consulting (completed early 2022) which I think could be a key document for this work. You can download it here: <https://www.fabfeathy.nz/cld/update-wairarapa-moana-trail-feasibility-report/>

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

The biggest win would be for a pedestrian walkway between the medical centre and the pharmacy. This would solve multiple issues: Vulnerable patients who almost always need to go to the pharmacy after visiting the doctors and often have mobility issues. Being able to get to the pharmacy without the 1.5k trip around the block would really improve peoples lives.

This could fix the terrifying car parking situation for the Saturday market. Parking at the community centre and walking to the market rather than cars trying to park amongst the buskers, toddlers, dogs and shoppers - actin really needs t happen here before someone is seriously injured.

I think there needs to be an acknowledgement of the Quarry Trucks up and down Wakefield, which damages the roads, sends chips at parked cars, pedestrians and cyclists. There is no pavement for the children accessing the sports grounds, which is bad enough without the quarry trucks.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

Again, the biggest concern for me here is the access for the fire station - both volunteers getting to the station, and the engines accessing fires around town. Safer crossings are definitely a positive, but the fire station is key.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I think from a pedestrian point of view I think it's a great idea, however I have big concerns about our firefighters being able to gain access to the station in an emergency.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Seems positive to me - the school bus stop to Kuranui is always a concern with students trying to cross the road to get to the stop. Also access to Dorset Square is difficult from the other side of town as there is nowhere safe to cross the road.

Less parking on the main street and more cafe space is a good thing in my opinion - as long as there is the well-signposted options for parking off the main street for out-of-towners.

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

It seems an opportunity to make the Squircle more usable - wind breaks are really necessary here.

Zebra crossing between the skatepark and the Mini fell/playground area would be great, often kids race across that road and when logging trucks are parked along that section it's not easy to see if anyone is waiting to cross.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Sounds good

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

More street lights along here, it's very dark in the winter getting too and from the train station.
Shade is very important here, it's unbearable to walk along in the heat of the summer sun.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Yes

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#56

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 1:35:27 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:17:14 PM
Time Spent: 08:41:46
IP Address: 206.83.102.92

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	John Grey
Organisation (if applicable)	PJ Properties
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Yes (online)

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Acknowledging Featherston's location as the gateway to the Wairarapa (when travelling from the south) and ensuring that Featherston presents a welcoming and accessible prospect for visitors.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
I prefer the original vision in the Foundation Document, with the addition of "thriving". I like the way that it is inclusive - that there is a place for everyone.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I question restricting question 4 to whanau Maori. We should be supporting all people to thrive.

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:
I support it in principle, but it must be implemented in a way that supports the retail businesses for the town to thrive.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
The priority of the connection to Wairarapa Moana should be in line with the timing of the efforts to revitalise the lakeside end point of the connection.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:
The status of the existing heritage precinct around the war memorial should also be reviewed to determine whether it is still fit for purpose. Is it needed? Will it have unintended consequences for mixed use developments?

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No,

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

Heritage restrictions should be added to particular buildings of historic value (in consultation with owners), not to whole streets/neighbourhoods.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

No

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

It appears that the upgrades will remove most of the current parking outside retail shops. Having easily available parking (i.e. directly outside retail shops) is essential to thriving retail businesses. These businesses are dependent on passing traffic being able to easily access them.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

No,

Do you have any comments?:

The Fox street crossing is the main and most accessible crossing for commuter traffic. It should be enough to add safety features to it for pedestrians/cyclists like the Fitzherbert Street crossing.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

See answer to question 13.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Opposed to the closing off of car parks giving direct access to businesses. Doing this will not help the businesses thrive. The plan should make allowance for greater main street parking e.g. angled parking.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Query whether we need another multi-use space when the current space (Squircle) seems very under-utilised. It doesn't seem to be realistic to make the proposed Daniell Street an extension of the market when the spaces are separated by the railway line. The plan should give more thought to making the Squircle a more inviting and useable space e.g. plantings to make it more sheltered from the wind.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Disagree. It will take commuter traffic past a school. See also answer to question 13.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

14.4.b doesn't seem necessary given the proximity of the other crossings (existing and proposed). In addition, main street businesses rely on passing traffic making instant decisions to stop at a shop. Businesses cannot rely on shoppers having to find parking on Fox Street and then walk to their shops. The bulk of passing traffic will simply not bother stopping in Featherston.

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Cannot answer except to say that the priorities and timescales should reflect community feedback.

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

The draft Masterplan must take into account the impact it will have on main street businesses. Thriving businesses will contribute to a thriving community, yet aspects of the plan will appear to have the effect of discouraging traffic to make spontaneous stops in Featherston because of the lack of main street parking. The plan should also address the use of the Squirkel so that it becomes a more useable space.

#57

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, February 17, 2024 12:21:57 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 10:40:14 PM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: 206.83.102.92

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Jennifer Grey
Organisation (if applicable)	For the Love of Books
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Yes (in person),
Yes (online)

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

The challenges and opportunities are mostly identified really well. Thank you.

One thing that is missing is the importance of Featherston as the first place in the Wairarapa that anyone travelling from the south on SH2, or anyone travelling north by train on the Wairarapa Line, comes to.

It is the Southern Gateway to welcome people to the Wairarapa valley.

This is important to the whole district and should form part of the focus in the Masterplan for the look and feel of the town and the decisions around development.

This would probably fit well in point (d):

Recognising the geographic location of the town *as the welcome / entry point to the Wairarapa Valley*, separated from Wellington by the Remutaka Ranges but with the benefit of the connectivity provided by the train station

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Not sure there's much difference in the general vision between 1 and 2 really. Option 1 could include resilient "A thriving, resilient community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other", which would cover option 2. The problem you get when you start naming specific groups ("workers, families and creatives") is that you risk leaving some out - e.g. older people who are retired (not "workers"), don't have family locally and aren't particularly creative. Option 2 could be a tagline as it is brief and to the point. Just add "Thriving" and you cover option 1 without the pitfall of inadvertently excluding anyone. I.E. Featherston - Thriving, Resilient, Creative, Caring. That would probably be my ideal version of the 2 options.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes,
Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:
I support the Six Guiding Principles, though I think it is important that (4) be amended to state "Support whanau / families to thrive". It is important for whanau Māori to thrive. It is important to support ALL families to thrive. The children being raised are the future, no matter what their heritage.

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Yes,

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

This question is worded in a confusing way. It isn't clear what the overall Masterplan Strategy is (to quote "our proposed overall Masterplan Strategy is based on Option 1 (increased density around the Main Street and Train Station) included in the Featherston Masterplan Foundation Document) If it's about option 1 for Featherston, how that is different from the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan. (And there are way too many "plans" in that sentence!) The overall strategy for Featherston looks good. There are, of course, plenty of specifics that need refining.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

Prioritising the connection to Wairarapa Moana: It depends what you mean by prioritising. If you mean that it should be top priority above everything else, then no. The pedestrian and cycle connection to Wairarapa Moana is important. It shouldn't be top priority above the main town centre.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Yes,

If yes, which other routes?:

See question 9 g.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

No comment

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes,

Do you have any comments?:

100% support rezoning this area to mixed commercial / residential. It is vital that the existing heritage precincts should also be reviewed - both for location and conditions / requirements to ensure that the two work together and don't negatively impact development opportunities. To be honest, I'm not sure if the current heritage precinct is justified. The library and old courthouse are heritage buildings. The old BNZ bank building could possibly be considered as heritage. The building with the "Where the Wild Things Are" images on it, has a heritage plaque on it and PERHAPS, someone with a big heart and deep pockets could salvage the facade and build a fit for purpose building behind it. Then there is the War Memorial / Cenotaph. The rest of the buildings are not heritage buildings. The above mentioned structures are interspersed with other developments of varying styles and ages. The area doesn't LOOK like it is, or should be, a heritage zone, yet the restrictions currently in place because it is designated a heritage precinct have hindered even basic development of properties in that area.

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

a. Concentrate 'town centre' uses into a more compact area

I'm assuming this refers to the rezoning of land south of Wakefield St / Bethune St to residential? If so, great.

b. Better link the existing open spaces

Sounds great - though we have too many open spaces and other things creating a disjointed main street (some we can't do anything about, like the railway line, and sadly also the derelict buildings).

One open space that we CAN do something about is Cherry Tree Park. This area could be rezoned to commercial or mixed commercial / residential. This would help to alleviate some of the disjointedness of the retail / commercial areas on our main street. If the land was leased out by the council then it could provide ongoing income to subsidise rates.

Another potential space is the wider end of the small triangle of land that contains the wind sculpture. With the proposed mixed use nature of the streets on two sides of it, this area could also be made available for lease and development. SWDC could even provide a container shop or something similar for lease.

A small business (hot & cold drinks & icecream? Bike rental?) could then utilise the space and the lease could provide ongoing income to SWDC.

The space could also be utilised as a dedicated information point containing maps of the town, and town centre and information about businesses and amenities and the surrounding area. It would require a structure to shelter it from the sun, wind and rain

Speaking of information points, it really is unacceptable that Featherston, as the southern gateway to the Wairarapa, doesn't have an i-site or similar. This could be addressed by having one or more digitised information points with a touch screen (perhaps linking to FeatherstonInfo.nz) in the town centre.

Utilising this space would also help connect the disjointed areas of our main street.

c. Create Welcome features (x4)

Do you mean Welcome to Featherston's Town Centre features? As opposed to the Welcome to Featherston features that resulted from the work of the Featherston Beautification Group that still haven't been re-installed? If so, sounds good. I support anything positive to draw attention to our town centre and make it an attractive place to stop.

I am curious about the four locations though. I can picture one each at the west and east end of the town centre (hopefully double-sided with one side saying welcome / haere mai and the other side something like see you again / haere ra) Perhaps one when entering / leaving the town centre on the new train station route. Not sure about the fourth one?

d. Street Improvements to allow easier crossing and increase amenity of environment

Definitely agree with additional pedestrian crossings at east and west end of the town centre (Wakefield / Bethune to Hickson / Wallace Sts) and making all of the crossings raised crossings.

Vehemently opposed to losing ANY car parks in this area and to any measures that make it difficult, or impossible, for driver's of longer vehicles (trucks, trucks with trailers, camper vans, cars towing trailers or caravans) to pull over and park.

Being a business owner in Featherston for over a decade I can tell you that a good proportion of business in Featherston comes from people who were intending to pass though but chose to pull over and stop BECAUSE it was easy to do so.

Perhaps this will change some time in the future if Featherston becomes a destination in and of itself, but that will never happen if we

Draft Featherston Masterplan Submission Form

kill off current businesses by removing convenient access.

e. Upgrades to improve appearance of entry to town centre

Make that "entries" and, yes. 100%

f. Create a shared multifunction space

This idea has been mooted a few times in the past and it's current iteration (pedestrian friendly while still providing parking for the businesses and ease of closure for events) definitely has merit.

I do have reservations about calling it the Booktown Precinct - even though it could potentially benefit my own business (opposite the War memorial on the other side of Fitzherbert Street).

Only three of the seven currently designated Featherston Booktown Bookshops are located in this area. One is just outside this area (by the playground), two are close to the supermarket and one is close to the west end of the planned town centre area.

If the plans (hopes?) for the Featherston Booktown organisation to have a premises in the area goes ahead, then calling it the Booktown Precinct makes more sense.

g. Look at options to improve pedestrian linkages from Fitzherbert Street to Community Centre and Medical Centre

This should be a connectivity priority.

i. Transform pedestrian and cycle linkage to Station/Sports Grounds and Swimming Pool

Fabulous.

j. Create additional on-street pedestrian and cycle route to Station and Sports Hub to supplement existing north section of linkage to Station

Ideally it would be an on-street cycle lane and separate footpath utilising the grassy berm. From what I can tell, it is possible to have them separate - which is safer. The cycle lane can be created on the road with paint.

k. Narrow Daniell Street carriageway and build dedicated pedestrian/cycle link.

I'm not sure about the need for / positive impact of narrowing the section of Daniell Street by the Supermarket and making it one way.

There should be enough space on the green space to create a cycle path and a footpath without narrowing the street.

Also, the plan includes creating parking on Fox Street. If that part of Daniell Street is made one way, direction south, then people can only access that parking on Fox Street by driving down to Birdwood street (or a little further to the SH2 junction with Fox Street).

Creating a parking circuit is, in and of itself, not a bad idea. Unfortunately, any parking zone created on Fox Street will increase traffic going through the Birdwood Street / Fox Street area adjacent to the playground, skate park and public toilets which, as included in the plan, it is important to increase the safety of.

This area is used, not only for the amenities adjacent it, but also by the local schools when accessing the library, the swimming pools and each other.

If the current plan has taken this into consideration, then great. If not, then perhaps some tweaking is needed?

l. Provide recreation opportunities for youth to increase use of area

Not sure how this would be realised?

We definitely need more positive activities for the youth. Not sure about the location if this is alongside to main access to the train

station. Sad to say it but pedestrians or cyclists could potentially feel uncomfortable or threatened if groups of youth hang out in the area.

m. Carry out maintenance of planting alongside existing pedestrian/cycle route and improve signage
Perfect!

n, o & p Work with KiwiRail to confirm best options for upgrades and closures of crossings
Good luck!

Any closures would be less than ideal for at least some locals.

The Fox St crossing closure will increase traffic to and from the train station going past St Teresa's School.

Unless the proposed changes to the area discourages it, it will likely also increase traffic going past the playground area as people who are used to using Fox St to get to the station continue to take Donald St, Fox St across SH2 and then turn into Birdwood St and then Bell St, rather than turning off Fox St into Hickson St.

q. Future pedestrian and cycle link
Definitely makes sense to link the Featherston - Woodside cycle trail to the town centre and beyond at some point in the plan.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Do you have any comments about the proposed heritage precinct?:

Quote from the Masterplan Consultation Document: "Much of the existing town centre area is already identified as a heritage precinct, and so change within that area (even if it is rezoned mixed use as proposed) would have to respect its heritage values." To reiterate my comment from question 8, there are heritage buildings here and there, but most are already interspersed with later developments. There isn't really any area of Featherston that I know of where it feels like you've stepped back in time and needs to be preserved. (And we don't want to try to be another Greytown!) For the existing heritage precincts, and any proposed heritage areas, is it not possible to protect specific buildings and properties as Heritage properties rather than an entire area? That would, it seems to me, help protect heritage while still enabling development around it.

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Not that I can think of.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

YES!

1.

When selling the land next to the supermarket car park, I believe there were conditions. One was that the successful development proposal would be the one that had the best community outcome and that the development should be done within a certain period of time.

So far (as far as I remember) it has been nearly five years since the land has been sold, there has been no sign of any development and there has only been the continuing detriment (for about 15 years now) of a gravel pit in our town centre.

Can something please be done to ensure positive things happen on that site as soon as possible and that the community be informed, and kept informed.

2.

SWDC was also to put the money from the sale of the land aside for use in Featherston. Can this money be used to fulfill the promise for the Squirle - that the boardwalks be lined with container shops or similar* (again an opportunity for SWDC to provide these for lease to generate income) and that we get a gazebo to provide shelter in the grass circle at the centre of the Squirle.

(*In consideration of any development on the adjacent land, and until we know what is planned there, at least container shops or similar on the eastern boardwalk)

3.

Are there any regulations that would prevent the fence in front of the (sadly) decaying train carriage opposite the supermarket from being covered and made more attractive? (It used to look pretty cool, but doesn't any more). If it can be clad with canvas or plywood and get a mural painted on it that would make a huge difference!

If there are no regulations to prevent it, can SWDC and the Community Board facilitate and expedite this?

4.

Though it goes without saying, I'm going to say it anyway - please keep looking for ANYTHING that can be done to encourage neglectful town centre property owners to improve the state of their properties, make them fit for purpose and encourage them to not be left empty.

Is it possible to apply a rates surcharge for empty buildings? Or a rates rebate for improvements? Work with the owners to find out what the obstacles are and determine if council can help enable change?

Can anything be included in the Combined District Plan review that can help deal with the problem?

Those are things that have probably already been thought of but as time goes by and government regulations change, and council regulations change, it may be worth looking at again.

Q15

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Do you have any comments?:

Closing any of the crossings will be less than ideal for at least some people. We have a significant number of level crossings (four very close together and one a little way out of town) and I can understand that KiwiRail wants to reduce that number. I think similar safety upgrades, with lights, barrier arms and fencing like on Fitzherbert St (minus the objectionable centre island outside the pharmacy carpark entrance!) should be sufficient.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

See comment above and response to Question 9 - k and n,o,p.

It should obviously remain open to pedestrians and cyclists, but then, why not cars as well?

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

a. Raised pedestrian crossing at west entry to Town Centre; to mark entry, slow vehicles and improve safety and pedestrian connectivity.

Long overdue!

b. Kerb lines moved out to enclose areas currently used as parking; to visually narrow road, slow vehicle speeds.

Only if this slows vehicles without creating any loss of parking space (see my comment for Question 9 d.)

c. New tree planting where space allows; to improve the appearance of the Town Centre, and to visually narrow the road to slow vehicle speeds.

Only if this doesn't cause any loss of parking space (see my comment for Question 9 d.) or other hazards / future problems such as the following (which are already issues that need to be contended with to some degree or other):

- current and future the impact on visibility from plant growth,
- future impact of root systems on surrounding roads and footpaths
- impact of branches on overhead lines
- the impact of plant debris (e.g. dead leaves) on nearby drains and gutters and on being blown down the main road wind tunnel, and
- the cost of maintaining the plantings and dealing with the above impacts.

d. New footpath along front of petrol station; to improve pedestrian safety.

This will be great for people using the bus stop adjacent to the petrol station.

e. New raised pedestrian crossing outside at east end of petrol filling station; to improve safety and pedestrian connectivity.

Long overdue! And as, for d. above, will be great for people using the bus stop near the petrol station and Kia Ora Dairy.

f. Provision for cyclists to cross added to existing pedestrian crossing outside of supermarket.

If there is some reason why cyclists can't use the existing crossing, then yes.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

a. Daniell Street carriageway narrowed (one way south).

As per my response to Question 9 k and Question 16 c, below.

b. New 3m shared path to station; to provide safe route for pedestrians and cyclists.

Not sure whether "shared path" means that cyclists will be among pedestrians (bad idea), or whether it will be one path with a designated pedestrian side and a designated cycling side (great idea). This feedback applies to all instances where "shared path" is mentioned in the consultation document.

c. New multi-use public space and welcome feature at south end, to mark entry (and south end) of new improved route to station. Highlights the improved route and provides space which could be used for purposes such as an expansion of the market.

Highlighting the route to the train station is essential (also at other points on the main road - such as the in the vicinity of the war memorial and the public toilets).

Looking at the accompanying map in this part of the consultation document, I disagree with Daniell St being narrowed and public space being created there.

The area doesn't connect to the area currently used for the market - and debatably, the Squirle was built to be, and should be encouraged to be used as the space for markets (as long as there is some shelter from the prevailing northwesterly winds).

We also really don't need any more multi-use public space on or near our main street!

It would be much better if angled parking be created on Daniell St (potentially on both sides). This would alleviate the potential problems of vehicles looking for parking on Fox St creating increased traffic through the proposed "town heart" area.

There should also be a pedestrian/cycle crossing at the main street end, by the supermarket, to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists on Fitzherbert St (it can be a challenging crossing for pedestrians), as well as those using the path to and from the train station.

d. New tree planting in Daniell Street; to improve appearance of street and to provide shade.

Shelter from the prevailing northwesterly wind is more relevant than shelter from the sun, but again, planting should take into account its overall impact (as mentioned in Questions 9 d and 15 c) and enhance traffic flow (pedestrian and vehicles) and parking, not replace it.

e. Opportunities for sculptures and art features.

Art and sculptures can enhance the surroundings but art can be anywhere. We don't need to create specific spaces for it.

f. Fox Street level crossing shown closed to traffic with new gated crossing for pedestrians and cyclists to improve safety and connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists. This will assist with reducing vehicle movements in Fox Street, supporting the proposed upgrades to the 'Town Heart'. However it will mean that commuters will have to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street.

Reducing traffic on Fox St in the proposed "town heart" area will be beneficial for the non-vehicle use of that area.

Whether it will be successful is yet to be determined (see my response to Question 17 below)

g. The shared path will be adaptable; for instance there will be the opportunity to cover it or to add a shelter alongside it in the future, to

allow users to shelter from the sunshine or rain.

Lol. You forgot WIND again! This IS Featherston we're planning for. Wind really does need to be factored into any plans.

A sheltered walkway from the train station to the town centre would be fantastic!

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

As mentioned in my response to Question 9 n,o,p, unless the proposed changes to the area discourages it sufficiently, I expect that people who are used to using Fox St to get to the station will continue to take Donald St, Fox St across SH2 and then turn into Birdwood St and then Bell St, rather than turning off Fox St into Hickson St.

Either way, it will increase traffic going past St Teresas School. At present this will be heaviest in the morning before school starts, and in the evenings well after school is over for the day, but if the frequency of rail services increases as planned, then this could create a problem in the future.

Q20

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

a. Birdwood Street (both north and south of Fox Street) formed into shared spaces, with reduced width for vehicle movement; to slow vehicle speeds and to allow easier crossing between areas of open space. Improved linkages to Anzac Hall and Bell Street. Support this.

It just seems to be missing pedestrian / cycle crossings across Fox St to Clifford Square and to the Public toilets / Playground.

b. New raised table (sections of the carriageway raised to footpath level) at west end, adjacent to 'Squirkle', and outside of Library to slow vehicles entering the Town Heart area; to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists.

Don't support this as it seems unnecessary.

The proposed raising of the existing pedestrian crossing on SH2 (between the library and skate park) and the proposed raised crossing of SH2 by the War Memorial should accomplish this objective without the need (and cost) of more raised areas.

c. Existing pedestrian crossing west of Birdwood Street upgraded into raised crossing including crossing facilities for cyclists; to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists, to slow vehicles and to allow easier access between areas of open space to the north and south of SH1.

*SH2

I'm assuming you mean the existing zebra crossing near the Library which I referred to in b above?

Fully support this.

d. Fox Street (north of SH1) narrowed to slow vehicle speeds and to allow easier crossing between areas of open space.

No objection.

e. Fox Street remains open to traffic as far as the 'closed' pedestrian crossing.

As far as closed railway crossing?

Essential as the Fire Station is located on Fox Street.

f. Angled parking introduced into Fox Street; to allow easy parking for residents using the area and for visitors stopping in the area.

Support this except for my reservations about vehicles looking for parking increasing traffic through the "town heart" area.

g. Further car parking could be made available in the existing 'paper road' to the east of the playpark.

Easier access to the commercial property behind the RSA could be beneficial for business.

More parking options could be beneficial. There would need to be designated parking reserved for the business.

More traffic around the playground may not be ideal.

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

a. Raised pedestrian crossing at east entry to Town Centre; to mark entry, slow vehicles and improve safety and pedestrian connectivity.

Long overdue!

The decision of exact location needs to be made in consultation with affected property owners / businesses and with as little loss of on street parking as possible.

b. Fox Street (south of SH1) formed into shared space, with reduced width for vehicle movement; to slow vehicle speeds and to allow easier pedestrian movement through the area. Space could be closed for events.

Support this. See my comments for Question 9 f.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Centre Section

a. Additional tree planting to improve the general amenity of the area.

Love plants. See previous comments about plantings.

b. Planted beds to improve the general amenity of the area.

As for a. above

c. Opportunities for sculptures and art features.

See previous comments about art and sculpture for Question 16 e.

d. The shared path will be adaptable; for instance there will be the opportunity to cover it or to add a shelter alongside it in the future, to allow users to shelter from the sunshine or rain.

And WIND! A sheltered walkway would be ideal.

North End

e. Improvements to level crossing over railway and improved pedestrian and cycle linkages across Bell Street.

Fully support this.

f. Entry from station car park to upgraded route marked by new welcome feature.

It's essential to make the route to the town centre easily recognisable and accessible and easy to follow.

g. New 3m shared path along Johnston Street, to sports hub and linked to station by extension to 3m path through car park.

Not sure what you mean by "through car park", but a footpath and a cycle path to the Sports Hub and Swimming Pool is long overdue.

h. Additional trees to west of existing shared part to station.

As per previous comments about plantings.

i. The shared path will be adaptable; for instance there will be the opportunity to cover it or to add a shelter alongside it in the future, to allow users to shelter from the sunshine or rain.

And WIND! A sheltered walkway would be ideal.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

This is a big question as there is a LOT on the list! However, without addressing each item point by point, my only option is to say yes, once plans have been refined based on feedback received. I would like to comment on the following: Project 1 f. Reduced speed limit area lengthened to include whole of SH2 from Bethune/Wakefield Streets intersection to Hickson/Wallace Street intersection Thank you for including this. NZTA should have consulted with the community / SWDC on this and got it right in the first place! g. Work with Waka Kotahi to identify and install lower noise road surfaces Yes please! On the flier we received a few of years ago to notify of the Fitzherbert St resurfacing it was touted that they would be using materials that would reduce road noise. What we got definitely didn't live up to this and had the added disadvantage of leaving a lot of patches of tar sparsely covered with stones. These patches are very susceptible to becoming soft when the weather is warm (doesn't even need to be hot) resulting in tar regularly getting tracked into our business by customers. At first I figured it would be a temporary issue, because the road had just been resealed but it persisted. I eventually called SWDC about it to ask what could be done and was told that they would pass it on to NZTA. This was a while ago now. Unfortunately, it continues to be a problem even a few years later. We can't keep up with cleaning the tar off our carpet. h. Work with Wellington Water and Waka Kotahi to resolve existing flooding issues SH2 in vicinity of Daniell Street A resolution to this is, as you know, long overdue! i. Investigate and promote installation of EV Chargers in Town Centre Heart Area This is a bit out of the blue as (as far as I noticed) it isn't mentioned anywhere else in the consultation document. Not a bad idea though. Especially if it is a different provider to the one in the supermarket carpark so as to give more options to people passing through.

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

It's great that a big picture plan is (finally) being made for Featherston! I hope that it will result in meaningful positive progress for Featherston in the near future.

#58

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 18, 2024 9:39:26 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 18, 2024 11:22:48 PM
Time Spent: 01:43:21
IP Address: 101.53.216.190

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Siv Fjaerestad
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

I am thrilled that the plan sets out to Work with the Wairarapa Maori Statutory Board, to restore the ecological health of the Wairarapa Moana and to provide better linkages from the town to the Moana. I believe there are additional opportunities connected to this - such as supporting better cycling, walking and recreational opportunities via Donald's Creek and Otairira Stream - both tributaries to Wairarapa Moana.

I believe there are opportunities within the plan to create better spaces for all by focusing on the needs of young people and to celebrate Maori culture in our spaces.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:

I would love to see a vision that applies the first guiding principle (below).

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past "Ka mua, ka muri" acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Yes

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Do you have any comments on the overall Masterplan Strategy?:

This is a 30 year plan. I'd like to see a plan that is brave enough to prioritise the wellbeing of children over the cars in the area between skatepark, clifford square and play park.

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Yes,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:

As noted earlier, I absolutely support prioritising these connections and I'd also like to see a development of safer pedestrian and cycle solutions along Donald's Creek access / bridges (SH2 and SH53) and Otairira Stream to build our connection to our waterways that connect to the Moana. Such developments would also support provide families and kids with more stuff to do and more active healthy recreational lifestyles.

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

If yes, which other routes?:

The development of even more opportunities for public walking and cycle connectivity would be beneficial.

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Do you have any comments?:

I don't feel I have been able to fully understand the pros and cons of this. I would however urge you to consider the need for local food production when doing this - if rezoning to residential can allow more people to have a small garden to grow food, or access to "allotment" style solutions, then yes. Climate change will likely lead to less food resilient communities and we need to take this into account and look at options to encourage more food resilience in our community.

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Overall great suggested improvements. Important to improve pedestrian linkages from Fitzherbert Street to Community Centre and Medical Centre and provide features/restrictions to enable children to be safe when moving around town spaces, especially around skatepark, clifford square and play park areas, and provide better spaces where youth feel included and welcome.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

No

Q13

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

I don't mind single houses or key buildings being identified as heritage areas - but I question the benefit of heritage status of whole streets or areas in terms of affordability of overall community and environmentally sound solutions.

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Pedestrian and cycle ways can provide opportunities for designs that connect us to our environment / landscape and encourage more active lifestyles.

Q15

Yes

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I like this idea. I would also ideally like to see the small area between Fox Street and Birdwood / skatepark playpark and Clifford Square as pedestrian only.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

When / if planting trees, prioritise planting natives or food plants.

Q18

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Shared path an opportunity to create innovative designs that activate people and/or our connection to our place. Plant natives and/or consider food plants.

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

If this can make our town generally safer for pedestrians then yes.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Great that the plan identified spaces that could be closed for events.

Q22

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Again, plant natives or consider food plants. Use innovative designs for shared pathway to connect and activate spaces and people.

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Do you have any comments about the Implementation Plan?:

Overall yes. It would be great to see opportunities to pilot some of the upcoming changes through placemaking etc

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

Thank you for doing this work! Please consider making these plans more accessible, such as use of language, in the future :)

#59

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 19, 2024 3:48:55 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 19, 2024 4:21:52 PM
Time Spent: 00:32:56
IP Address: 121.98.232.73

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Tiff North
Organisation (if applicable)	n/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Q5 Respondent skipped this question

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

Page 4

Q6 Respondent skipped this question

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

Q7 Yes

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

Q8 Respondent skipped this question

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

Q9 Yes

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Q10 Yes

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Q11 Respondent skipped this question

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

No

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

I would encourage Council to advocate for Featherston with Kiwirail to ensure this crossing stays open to vehicles.

Access for vollies to get to the fire station quickly is needed. Closing the Fox St will make it difficult for those in the north-west of town. Also restricts the firetruck's movements when responding to calls. With the proposed changes to the main street, having Fox St as an alternative way for people to get on to SH2 north without going through the centre is desirable. I believe closing this crossing to cars would create more congestion at the SH2/Wakefield intersection.

If Kiwirail are not amenable and want to continue with closing the crossing, do NOT make Daniell St past the police station one way. I believe there is enough room to make the pocket park next to the railway line a shared feature space without needing to narrow Daniell St.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Don't think it's great. People have that option now and are not choosing to use that route which suggests the Fox St crossing is preferred. Keeping both crossings open would be better, but I would rather see Bell St close to vehicles and become pedestrian/cycle access than Fox St.

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

#60

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 26, 2024 4:37:58 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 26, 2024 4:45:43 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:44
IP Address: 115.189.87.63

Page 1

Q1

Please enter your contact information. Please note your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other information will remain private.

Full name	Andrew Wyatt
Organisation (if applicable)	N/a
Postal address	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2

Yes (online)

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the hearing? Hearing date to be confirmed. We will contact you.

Page 2: Challenges and Opportunities (Section 5)

Q3

Question 1: Are there key challenges and opportunities that you think we have missed?

Yes, decreasing the speed on Western Lake Road is in my view entirely unnecessary.

Page 3: Vision and Guiding principles (Section 10)

Q4

Question 2: Which Vision do you support?

Vision 1 – Featherston- A thriving community of workers, families and creatives all supporting each other

Do you have any comments about the Vision?:
Great vision , love both, prefer the first one.

Q5

Question 3: Do you support the Six Guiding Principles? 1. Honouring the past “Ka mua, ka muri” acknowledging the past to move forward 2. Comfortable with being ourselves and caring for each other 3. Involve mana whenua in all our work 4. Support whanau Māori to thrive 5. Caring about our physical and natural environment 6. Doing what we can, being solution focused.

No,

Do you have any comments about the Guiding Principles?:

Should you be supporting ALL people in thriving. Re: point 4

Page 4

Q6

Question 4: Do you support the overall Masterplan Strategy (including the Featherston Masterplan Strategy Plan)?

No

Q7

Question 5: Do you agree with prioritising the pedestrian and cycle connections to Wairarapa Moana?

No,

Do you have any comments about the connections?:
People do not use cycle paths, instead cyclists use the roads, why not just make the road wider??

Q8

Question 6: Do you think any other connection routes should be prioritised?

No

Q9

Question 7: Do you agree that the existing industrial land to the west of Bethune Street/Wakefield Street should be rezoned residential?

Yes

Q10

Question 8: Do you support the rezoning of land along SH2, from Bethune Street/Wakefield Street to Wallace Street/Hickson Street, from industrial and commercial zoned land to mixed use?

Yes

Q11

Question 9: Do you have any feedback on the suggested key features in the town centre and train station in 11.9?

Moving the train station is a bad idea and a waste of money.

Page 5: Heritage Precinct (Section 12)

Q12

Yes

Question 10: Do you support the proposed historic heritage precinct? (Subject to a detailed study into its values and boundaries which will likely restrict development within that area).

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

Question 11: Are there other areas which you believe should be identified as a heritage precinct?

Page 6: Revitalised Main Street (Section 13)

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Question 12: Are there other upgrades that you think should be included in the main street and for the linkages to the train station and sports hub?

Q15

No,

Question 13: Do you support the changes which are proposed to the level crossings?

Do you have any comments?:
Leave them as is, ensure they are maintained.

Q16

Question 14: Do you have any feedback on the closing of the Fox Street level crossing to traffic (remaining open to pedestrians and cyclists)?

Bad idea, this should remain open to ALL traffic.

Page 7: Draft Concept Design (Section 14)

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

Question 15: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.2?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Question 16: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.3?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

Question 17: How do you feel about traffic having to use Hickson Street and Bell Street to drive to the Train Station, rather than Fox Street and Johnston Street?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Question 18: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.4?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

Question 19: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.5?

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

Question 20: Do you have any feedback on the suggested upgrades in 14.6?

Page 8: Implementation Plan (Section 15)

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

Question 21: Do you agree with the priorities and timescales set out in the Implementation Plan?

Page 9: Final Feedback

Q24

Question 22: Do you have any other feedback on the overall Draft Masterplan which have not been captured by your previous answers?

There is no reason to lower the speed limit on Western Lake Road!!!
