

Have your say: Greytown Drinking Water Services Consultation

This submission form allows you to provide feedback on the South Wairarapa District Council Greytown Drinking Water Services Consultation. Tell us what you think between 9 September and 9 October 2024. There are a number of ways you can share your feedback:

Online - www.swdc.govt.nz/greytown-water-upgrade/

Paper copy -

- Email it to us at submissions@swdc.govt.nz
- Post to PO Box 6, Martinborough 5741
- Hand deliver to Council office or any of the South Wairarapa libraries

Privacy Statement

Your name and feedback will be in public documents. All other personal details will remain private. The Privacy Act 2020 applies when we collect personal details. Any details that are collected will only be used for the purposes stated. You have the right to access and correct any personal information we hold.

Your Details

Full name* William Sloan

Email*... [redacted] Phone number . [redacted]

Which ward do you live in?*

- Greytown Ward
- Featherston Ward
- Martinborough Ward
- Outside of the District

Are you making a submission on behalf of an organisation?

Yes / No

If yes, which organisation?
.....

Do you wish to speak to your submission?

Yes / No

You'll be presenting your submission to Council and the meeting will be livestreamed. If you select yes, please also ensure you provide your phone number above so we can contact you.



Submission form: Greytown Water Services

Continued

Why did you make this choice?

Rank your reasons in order of priority from the list below (1 = most important 7 = least important)

- Affordability
- Construction disruption
- Environmental impact
- Social, cultural heritage values
- Visual effects
- Recreation impacts
- Traffic from ongoing operation of plant
- Other

How do you think the project should be funded?

- District Targeted Rate
- Mix of District and Local Targeted Rate
- Unsure
- Other

Targeted rate for greytown + Featherston

Is there anything more feedback you would like to share in relation to this consultation?

See attached

Submission form: Greytown Water Services

Is your property connected to (or can be connected to) the town water supply?

- Yes
- No

Do you rent or own your property?

- Rent
- Own

How often do you visit Soldiers Memorial Park?

- Weekly
- Monthly
- Yearly
- Never

How do you use Soldiers Memorial Park?

- Sports
- Swimming
- Picnics
- Walking and relaxing
- Dog walking
- Use of playground
- Other

Which is your preferred location out of the three site options listed below?

- Soldiers Memorial Park
- Old Borough Council Yard
- Kuratawhiti Street Area

ADDENDUM SUBMISSION TO SWDC

GREYTOWN FUTURE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY UPGRADE OPTIONS As Reported

1. Introduction

Three replacement bore locations have been identified within the urban area to replace the existing bore at Soldiers Memorial Park, Kuratawhiti Street, Greytown. It is assumed that Council briefed its providers to investigate and provide this information although the writers understanding is as a result of the last round of consultation that Councils providers were directed to take a big picture approach to the project rather than focus simply on replacing a bore in a new location.

The rough order cost as reported to provide a new bore and supporting infrastructure depending on location is in the order of \$4 – 7.3M.

The consultation document discusses amongst other things the role of the existing Woodside Plant and its current limitations in rest of servicing both Greytown and Featherston There is also some commentary about the Woodside Plant's inability to service Greytown on its own even if Featherston was serviced from elsewhere. As reported some 8 km of water main and other work at the Woodside Plant would be required to provide an adequate service to Greytown. This is estimated to cost in rough order terms about \$18M.

2. Option Comments

The bore replacement options preserve the status quo in terms of network redundancy as offered up.

What is not addressed in any real detail is the potential of the Woodside plant to fully provide a full gravity service with ring feed (another delivery main to the north end of Greytown) to Greytown and its costs over a long period of time , say 25 years compared to that of a bore option when it is considered there would likely be real savings in operating costs over that period of time with the bore option put to one side.

This should be analysed further so that Council can obtain a true understanding of lifetime costs. This is done often by way of what is known as a life cost analysis, a tool used in providing comparative whole of life costs between options available. These costs include up front capital costs and consider

operational and maintenance costs for each option. The extra costs of this analysis should not be a charge on Council as prudent management of this project should have included this aspect in the brief delivery documentation.

The options can then be truly compared by the provider enabling the Council to arrive at an informed decision for the future.

3. Conclusion

It may well be that such an analysis will favour one of the bore options in terms of long-term costs however that shouldn't be discounted as the prospect of a sustainable full gravity supply (inclusive of full firefighting capability) to the community as is the case of the supply to Featherston, may appeal to the Council and community.

The existing bore can be maintained in serviceable condition as a TRUE emergency source rather than frontline supply in the event of an extreme natural disaster if available.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bill Sloan". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal tail on the "n".

Bill Sloan

5 October 2024